

# Apriori Error Bounds in Linear Elasticity

Razvan RADUCANU

**Abstract.** The present paper presents some a priori error bounds for linear elasticity. It presents the equations of the linear elasticity, and the main features of error analysis. The present paper introduces Cea's lemma in a perturbed case for a weak approximate form of the first fundamental problem of linear elasticity.

**Keywords:** Finite element method, linear elasticity, error analysis, a priori bounds.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper we will present the equations of linear elasticity and a discussion over some a priori error bounds in linear elasticity. The main result behind the theory, presented here is Cea's lemma, the novelty of this paper consisting in its implementation in a perturbed case. The flowchart of this paper is the following: in section 2 the basic equations of linear elastostatics are presented following the ideas from [1] (M.E.Gurtin). Section 3 presents the weak formulation of the equations presented in section 2 and the main result of this paper: Cea's lemma in a perturbed case. The a priori bound obtained is given by the formula (3.24).

## 2 Basic equations

Let  $D = (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$  be a bounded domain in the bi-dimensional Euclidian space. Suppose that the domain  $D$  is occupied by an isotropic and homogenous medium. As in [4] (P.P.Teodorescu), the basic equations of equilibrium of the linear elasticity are:

- the equilibrium equations:

$$t_{\beta\alpha,\beta} + \rho_0 f_\alpha = 0 \quad \text{on } D \quad (2.1)$$

- the constitutive equations:

$$t_{\alpha\beta} = 2\mu\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} + \lambda\varepsilon_{rr}\delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad \text{on } D \quad (2.2)$$

- the strain-displacement equations:

$$\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}(u_{\alpha,\beta} + u_{\beta,\alpha}) \quad \text{on } D \quad (2.3)$$

where,  $u_\alpha$  are the components of the displacement vector,  $t_{\alpha\beta}$  are the components of the stress tensor,  $\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}$  are the components of the strain tensor,  $f_\alpha$  are the components of the specific body force,  $\lambda, \mu$  are Lamé constants, characteristic of the material. We'll attach the following boundary conditions:

$$u_\alpha = \tilde{u}_\alpha \text{ on } \Gamma \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\tilde{u}_\alpha$  are continuous given functions on  $\Gamma = \partial D$ . Thus the first fundamental boundary value problem of linear elasticity consists in finding  $u_\alpha$  which satisfy (2.1)-(2.3) and the boundary conditions (2.4).

After some elementary computations, we can state the problem:

$$\mu u_{\alpha,\beta\beta} + (\lambda + \mu) u_{\beta,\beta\alpha} + f_\alpha = 0 \text{ on } D \quad (2.5)$$

$$u_\alpha = \tilde{u}_\alpha \text{ on } \Gamma, \alpha = 1, 2, \beta = 1, 2. \quad (2.6)$$

Considering the formula:

$$\Delta \mathbf{u} = \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} - \operatorname{rot} \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{u} \quad (2.7)$$

the equations (2.5) become:

$$(\lambda + 2\mu) \Delta \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = -\operatorname{div} \mathbf{f} \quad (2.8)$$

If we apply the operators  $\operatorname{div}$  and  $\operatorname{rot}$  then to the equation (2.8) we obtain:

$$(\lambda + 2\mu) \Delta \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = -\operatorname{div} \mathbf{f} \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\mu \Delta \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{u} = -\operatorname{rot} \mathbf{f} \quad (2.10)$$

Let's make the following notation:

$$\mathbf{v} = \mu \mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{2} (\lambda + \mu) \mathbf{p} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}, \text{ where } \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{0}. \quad (2.11)$$

Applying the Laplace operator to (2.11), we can write:

$$\Delta \mathbf{v} = \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} + (\lambda + \mu) \left\{ \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{p} (\Delta \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}) \right\} \quad (2.12)$$

In this way ([1]), from (2.8), (2.9) and (2.12) we obtain:

$$\Delta \mathbf{v} = -\mathbf{f} - \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2(\lambda + 2\mu)} \mathbf{p} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f} \quad (2.13)$$

Thus, by changing accordingly the variables, the first fundamental problem of linear elasticity becomes:

$$-\Delta \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{f} \text{ on } D \quad (2.14)$$

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0} \text{ on } \Gamma \quad (2.15)$$

The boundary condition is also obtained using a corresponding variable transformation.

### 3 Weak formulation. The finite element method

In order to obtain the weak form of our problem we will define the following solutions space  $S$ :

$$S = \{v \mid v \in H^1(D), v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma\} \quad (3.1)$$

Let's suppose that the solution is continuous on  $\bar{D}$  and thus we'll have:  $S \equiv H_0^1(D)$ . In this case the existence and uniqueness of the weak solution is an immediate consequence of the Lax- Milgram theorem applied on the space  $S$ .

Let's consider a weight function  $w \in H_0^1(D)$ . Multiplying the equation (2.14) by  $w$  and integrating the resulting equation on  $D$ , we can state the weak form of the first fundamental problem of linear elasticity: let's determine  $u \in S$  so that:

$$\int_D w \Delta u \, dD = \int_D w f \, dD, \quad \forall w \in H_0^1(D) \quad (3.2)$$

Let's define the following functionals on  $H_0^1(D) \times H_0^1(D)$ , and respectively on  $H_0^1(D)$ :

$$B(w, u) = \int_D (w_{,x} u_{,x} + w_{,y} u_{,y}) \, dx dy \quad (3.3)$$

$$l(w) = \int_D w f \, dx dy \quad (3.4)$$

In this way, the weak form of the problem (2.14), (2.15) can be stated: let's determine  $u \in S$  such that:

$$B(w, u) = l(w), \quad \forall w \in H_0^1(D) \quad (3.5)$$

In order to implement the finite element method, let's consider the finite dimensional subspace  $S^h \subset S$  and the approximation  $u^h \in S^h$ . In order to generate the mesh on  $D$ , let's consider the nodes:  $x_i = ih, i = 1, \dots, N$  si  $y_i = ih, i = 1, \dots, N$  where  $h = \frac{1}{N}$ . Let's denote:  $T_{i1}$  the triangle with the verices  $(x_i, y_j), (x_i, y_{j+1}), (x_{i+1}, y_j)$ ,  $T_{i2}$  the triangle with the verices  $(x_i, y_j), (x_i, y_{j+1}), (x_{i-1}, y_{j+1})$ ,  $T_{i3}$  the triangle with the verices  $(x_i, y_j), (x_{i-1}, y_j), (x_{i+1}, y_{j+1})$ ,  $T_{i4}$  the triangle with the verices  $(x_i, y_j), (x_i, y_{j-1}), (x_{i-1}, y_j)$ ,  $T_{i5}$  the triangle with the verices  $(x_i, y_j), (x_i, y_{j-1}), (x_{i+1}, y_{j-1})$ ,  $T_{i6}$  the triangle with the verices  $(x_i, y_j), (x_{i+1}, y_j), (x_{i+1}, y_{j-1})$ . In this notations we can consider the following base of shape functions in  $S^h$ :

$$\Phi_{ij}(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{x - x_i}{h} - \frac{y - y_j}{h}, & (x, y) \in T_{i1} \\ 1 - \frac{y - y_j}{h}, & (x, y) \in T_{i2} \\ 1 - \frac{x_i - x}{h}, & (x, y) \in T_{i3} \\ 1 - \frac{x_i - x}{h} - \frac{y_j - y}{h}, & (x, y) \in T_{i4} \\ 1 - \frac{y_j - y}{h}, & (x, y) \in T_{i5} \\ 1 - \frac{x - x_i}{h}, & (x, y) \in (x, y) \in T_{i6} \\ 0, & \text{in all other cases} \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

The approximative weak form of the problem can be stated: given  $\mathbf{f}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}$  and  $h$ , let's determine  $\mathbf{u}^h$  such that:

$$B(\mathbf{w}^h, \mathbf{u}^h) = l(\mathbf{w}^h), \quad \forall \mathbf{w}^h \in H_0^1(D) \quad (3.7)$$

Next we recall a famous result in the elliptical equations theory: Céa's lemma ([3]):

**Céa's lemma.** *If  $\mathbf{u} \in S$  and  $\mathbf{u}^h \in S^h$  then we have:*

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h\|_{H^1(D)} \leq C \min_{\mathbf{v}^h \in S^h} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}^h\|_{H^1(D)}, \quad C > 0, \text{ const.} \quad (3.8)$$

The demonstration of the lemma is immediate and we'll discuss it only in a self-adjoint case in the following. Another classic result in the error analysis of the finite element theory is:

$$\min_{\mathbf{v}^h \in S^h} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}^h\| \leq h^{\min(k, r-1)} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^1(D)} \quad (3.9)$$

where  $k$  is the order of the polynomials from the finite element basis space.

The equation (3.5) is self-adjoint because the elasticity tensor  $\mathbf{C}$  is positive definite. In addition, we have:

$$B(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) \geq k \|\mathbf{v}\|_{H^1(D)}^2, \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in H_0^1(D) \quad (3.10)$$

Let's define on  $H_0^1(D) \times H_0^1(D)$  the following bilinear symmetric form:

$$[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]_B = B(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}), \quad \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in H_0^1(D) \quad (3.11)$$

One can notice immediately that  $[\cdot, \cdot]_B$  is a scalar product on  $H_0^1(D)$ . Let's consider the energetic associate norm  $\|\mathbf{u}\|_B$ :

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_B = (B(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}))^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.12)$$

More details about the energetic norm associated with a positive definite bilinear form can be found in [2] (S.G.Mihlin). We have  $S^h \subset H_0^1(D)$ , if we take  $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^h \in S^h$  in (3.5) we obtain:

$$B(\mathbf{w}^h, \mathbf{u}) = l(\mathbf{w}^h), \quad \forall \mathbf{w}^h \in S^h \quad (3.13)$$

On the other hand, the approximative weak form of the problem (3.5) is:

$$B(\mathbf{w}^h, \mathbf{u}^h) = l(\mathbf{w}^h), \quad \forall \mathbf{w}^h \in S^h \quad (3.14)$$

From (3.13) and (3.14) we obtain the notorious Galerkin orthogonality relation:

$$B(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h, \mathbf{w}^h) = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{w}^h \in S^h \quad (3.15)$$

which can be written:

$$[\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h, \mathbf{w}^h]_B = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{w}^h \in S^h \quad (3.16)$$

Let's prove Céa lemma in a self-adjoint case: if  $\mathbf{u} \in S$  and  $\mathbf{u}^h \in S^h$  then we have the formula:

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h\|_B = \min_{\mathbf{v}^h \in S^h} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}^h\|_B. \quad (3.17)$$

From (3.15) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h\|_B^2 &= (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h, \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h)_B = (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h, \mathbf{u})_B - (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h, \mathbf{u}^h)_B \\ &= (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h, \mathbf{u})_B = (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h, \mathbf{u})_B - (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h, \mathbf{v}^h)_B \\ &= (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h, \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}^h)_B, \quad \forall \mathbf{v}^h \in S^h \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

On the other hand, from (3.18) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have:

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h\|_B^2 = (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h, \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}^h)_B \leq \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h\|_B \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}^h\|_B, \quad \forall \mathbf{v}^h \in S^h \quad (3.19)$$

We have:

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h\|_B \leq \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}^h\|_B, \quad \forall \mathbf{v}^h \in S^h \quad (3.20)$$

or,

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h\|_B = \min_{\mathbf{v}^h \in S^h} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}^h\|_B \quad (3.21)$$

In the following we'll commit a "variational crime", considering the following weak form of the problem:

$$B(\mathbf{w}^h, \mathbf{u}^h) = l^h(\mathbf{w}^h), \quad \forall \mathbf{w}^h \in S^h \quad (3.22)$$

where  $l(\mathbf{w}^h)$  is numerically approximated by  $l^h(\mathbf{w}^h)$ . In this case Galerkin's orthogonality relation doesn't hold:

$$B(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h, \mathbf{w}^h) = l(\mathbf{w}^h) - l^h(\mathbf{w}^h) \neq 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{w}^h \in S^h \quad (3.23)$$

and Céa's lemma becomes: if  $\mathbf{u} \in S$  and  $\mathbf{u}^h \in S^h$  then:

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h\|_{H^1(D)} \leq \frac{k_1 \sqrt{2}}{k} \min_{\mathbf{v}^h \in S^h} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}^h\|_{H^1(D)} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k} \sup_{\mathbf{w}^h \in S^h} \frac{|l(\mathbf{w}^h) - l^h(\mathbf{w}^h)|}{\|\mathbf{w}^h\|_{H^1(D)}} \quad (3.24)$$

From (3.10), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} k \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h\|_{H^1(D)}^2 &\leq B(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h, \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h) \\ &= B(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h, \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}^h) + B(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h, \mathbf{v}^h - \mathbf{u}^h) \\ &\leq B(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h, \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}^h) + l(\mathbf{v}^h - \mathbf{u}^h) + l^h(\mathbf{v}^h - \mathbf{u}^h) \\ &\leq k_1 \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h\|_{H^1(D)} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}^h\|_{H^1(D)} \\ &\quad + \sup_{\mathbf{w}^h \in S^h} \frac{|l(\mathbf{w}^h) - l^h(\mathbf{w}^h)|}{\|\mathbf{w}^h\|_{H^1(D)}} \|\mathbf{v}^h - \mathbf{u}^h\|_{H^1(D)} \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

Let's denote:

$$\|l - l^h\|_{-1,h} = \sup_{\mathbf{w}^h \in S^h} \frac{|l(\mathbf{w}^h) - l^h(\mathbf{w}^h)|}{\|\mathbf{w}^h\|_{H^1(D)}} \quad (3.26)$$

From the triangular inequality and (3.26), the formula (3.25) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} k \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h\|_{H^1(D)}^2 &\leq k_1 \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h\|_{H^1(D)} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}^h\|_{H^1(D)} \\ &\quad + \|l - l^h\|_{-1,h} (\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h\|_{H^1(D)} + \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}^h\|_{H^1(D)}) \\ &= \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h\|_{H^1(D)} (k_1 \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}^h\|_{H^1(D)} + \|l - l^h\|_{-1,h}) \\ &\quad + \|l - l^h\|_{-1,h} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h\|_{H^1(D)} \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

More, if we'll consider the inequality:

$$\alpha \beta \leq \frac{1}{2k} \alpha^2 + \frac{k}{2} \beta^2, \quad \alpha, \beta \geq 0 \quad (3.28)$$

we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h\|_{H^1(D)} & (k_1 \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}^h\|_{H^1(D)} + \|l - l^h\|_{-1,h}) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2k} (k_1 \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}^h\|_{H^1(D)} + \|l - l^h\|_{-1,h})^2 + \frac{k}{2} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h\|_{H^1(D)}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

In this way, from (3.27) and (3.29) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} k^2 \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h\|_{H^1(D)}^2 & \leq (k_1 \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}^h\|_{H^1(D)} + \|l - l^h\|_{-1,h})^2 \\ & + 2k \|l - l^h\|_{-1,h} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h\|_{H^1(D)} \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

or,

$$k^2 \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h\|_{H^1(D)}^2 \leq 2 (k_1 \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}^h\|_{H^1(D)} + \|l - l^h\|_{-1,h})^2 \quad (3.31)$$

In other words, we have :

$$k \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h\|_{H^1(D)} \leq \frac{k_1 \sqrt{2}}{k} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}^h\|_{H^1(D)} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k} \|l - l^h\|_{-1,h} \quad (3.32)$$

or

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^h\|_{H^1(D)} \leq \frac{k_1 \sqrt{2}}{k} \min_{\mathbf{v}^h \in S^h} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}^h\|_{H^1(D)} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k} \sup_{\mathbf{w}^h \in S^h} \frac{|l(\mathbf{w}^h) - l^h(\mathbf{w}^h)|}{\|\mathbf{w}^h\|_{H^1(D)}} \quad (3.33)$$

which is the desired result.

## References

- [1] Gurtin, M. E., *The linear theory of elasticity*, Flüge's Handbuch der Physik VIa/2, Springer Verlag, 1972.
- [2] Mihlin, S. G., *Integral equations*, Pergamon, London, 1957.
- [3] Raducanu, R., *Prolegomene la Metoda Elementelor Finite*, Ed. Dan, 2003.
- [4] Teodorescu, P. P., *Probleme plane in teoria elasticitatii*, Vol.I, Ed. Acad. R.P.R., 1961.