

ON CERTAIN CONSTRUCTIONS IN UNIVERSAL
ALGEBRA INSPIRED BY AUTOMATA THEORY

Dan A. Simovici

I. INTRODUCTION

We shall discuss in this paper the notion of admissible decomposition of an algebra. This concept originates in a certain construction from Automata Theory.

Let $A = (A, F)$ be an algebra, where $A \neq \emptyset$ is the base set of algebra and $F = \{f_0, \dots, f_r, \dots\}$ is the set of operations. We refer the reader to [2] for notations and basic facts. If f is an operation from F then n_f will stand for the arity of this operation.

Definition 1. A decomposition of a set A is a collection of non-void subsets of A , $\mathcal{D} = \{B_i | i \in I\}$ such that $\bigcup \{B_i | i \in I\} = A$; the sets B_i are the blocks of \mathcal{D} . If $A = (A, F)$ is an algebra then \mathcal{D} is an admissible decomposition (a.d.) if, for any collection of blocks $\{B_1, \dots, B_{n_f}\}$ of \mathcal{D} and any $f \in F$ there is a block B of \mathcal{D} such that $f(B_1, \dots, B_{n_f}) \subseteq B$.

Clearly, if \mathcal{D} is an admissible decomposition such that for any B_i, B_j , with $i \neq j$, $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$ then \mathcal{D} is nothing but the partition associated to a congruence. However, in general, for admissible decompositions the block B which contains $f(B_1, \dots, B_{n_f})$ is not uniquely determined by f and B_1, \dots, B_{n_f} .

Let \mathcal{D} be an a.d. of the algebra $A = (A, F)$.

Definition 2. A \mathcal{D} quotient of A is an algebra $B = (\mathcal{D}, \bar{F})$, where $\bar{f}(B_1, \dots, B_{n_f}) = B$ if B is a \mathcal{D} -block such that $f(B_1, \dots, B_{n_f}) \subseteq B$. The set \bar{F} contains the barred version of the elements of F .

An admissible decomposition \mathcal{D} generates many \mathcal{D} -quotients because $f(B_1, \dots, B_{n_f})$ can be contained in many \mathcal{D} -blocks. We shall denote by A/\mathcal{D} the set of all \mathcal{D} -quotients of A .

The Yoeli Algebra attached to $B = (\mathcal{D}, \bar{F}) \in A/\mathcal{D}$ is the algebra $\gamma(A, B) = (K, \bar{F})$,

where $K = \{(a, B) \mid a \in B \in \mathcal{D}\}$ and $\bar{f}((a_1, B_1), \dots, (a_{n_f})) = (F(a_1, \dots, a_{n_f}), \bar{f}(B_1, \dots, B_{n_f}))$. The reader will notice that $f(a_1, \dots, a_{n_f}) \in \bar{f}(B_1, \dots, B_{n_f})$, hence this construction is a correct one. If $\pi: K \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is the projection given by $\pi((a, B)) = B$ then $((a, B), (a', B')) \in \ker \pi$ iff $B = B'$, i.e. if there is a block B containing both a and a' . Thus, we can attach a partition on K , $P_{\mathcal{D}}$, to the decomposition \mathcal{D} . A block of $P_{\mathcal{D}}$ is characterized by a block of the decomposition \mathcal{D} .

II. SUBDIRECT PRODUCTS AND ADMISSIBLE DECOMPOSITIONS

Let $\{A_i = (A_i, F) \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of algebras having the same type and suppose that $C \subseteq \prod \{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ is a subdirect product of this family. If $p \in C$ we shall denote by $B_{p,i}$ the subset of A_i given by

$$B_{p,i} = \{a \mid a \in A_i, \exists q \in C, q(i) = a, q(j) = p(j), \text{ for } j \neq i\}. \quad (1)$$

Lemma 1. The collection $\mathcal{D} = \{B_{p,i} \mid p \in C\}$ is an admissible decomposition of the algebra $A_i = (A_i, F)$.

Proof. According to the definition of the subdirect product, for each $a \in A_i$, there is a $p \in C$ such that $p(i) = a$. Therefore, $a \in B_{p,i}$ hence $\mathcal{D} = \{B_{p,i} \mid p \in C\}$ is a decomposition of A_i .

Moreover, if $a_k \in B_{p_k,i}$, for $1 \leq k \leq n_f$ then there exist q_k , $1 \leq k \leq n_f$ such that $a_k = q_k(i)$ and $q_k(j) = p_k(j)$, for $j \neq i$. Thus, $f(a_1, \dots, a_{n_f}) = f(q_1, \dots, q_k)(i)$ and $f(q_1, \dots, q_k)(j) = f(p_1, \dots, p_k)(j)$, for $j \neq i$, which indicates that $f(a_1, \dots, a_{n_f}) \in B_{f(p_1, \dots, p_k), i}$. We obtained the admissibility of the decomposition \mathcal{D} .

Lemma 2. The Yoeli algebra $Y(A, B)$ attached to the algebra $A = (A, F)$ and the \mathcal{D} -quotient B is a subdirect product of A and B . Moreover, \mathcal{D} is the decomposition induced on A by this subdirect product.

Proof. If $Y(A, B) = (K, \bar{F})$ it is easy to see that K is a subalgebra of $A \times B$. For any $a \in A$ there is a $B \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $a \in B$ (hence $(a, B) \in K$) because \mathcal{D} is a decomposition; similarly, for any $B \in \mathcal{D}$ there is an a such that $(a, B) \in K$, because each \mathcal{D} -block is non-void.

For $p = (a, B)$ we have $B_{p,1} = B$, which proves the second part of this lemma. Thus, we obtained the following

Theorem 1. Any a.d. of an algebra is induced by a subdirect product via the cononical mechanism described by (1).

We shall discuss now another construction which is inspired by the structural theory of finite automata (see [1],[3]).

Let $M^0 = \cup \{M^j | j \geq 0\}$, where M^j is the j^{th} Cartesian power of the set M ; M^0 consists of a unique element 1, $M^0 = \{1\}$.

Assume that $A = (A, F)$, $A' = (A', G)$ are two algebras for which there is a partial mapping $\omega: A^0 \times F \rightarrow G$ such that

- i) $\text{dom } \omega = \cup \{A^{n_f} \times \{f\} | f \in F\}$ and
- ii) if $\omega(a_1, \dots, a_{n_f}, f) = g$ then both f and g have the same arity.

Definition 3. The cascade product of A and A' via the mapping ω is the algebra $A \omega A' = (A \times A', \tilde{F})$ such that

$$\tilde{f}((a_1, a'_1), \dots, (a_{n_f}, a'_{n_f})) = (f(a_1, \dots, a_{n_f}), \omega(a_1, \dots, a_{n_f}, f)(a'_1, \dots, a'_{n_f})).$$

Here $a_1, \dots, a_{n_f} \in A$, $a'_1, \dots, a'_{n_f} \in A'$ and for each $f \in F$, \tilde{f} is a n_f -ary operation.

When both A and A' are unary algebras (i.e., essentially finite automata) then $A \omega A'$ is the cascade product of automata.

We shall use subsequently the concept of derived algebra of an algebra as defined in [2]. If A' is a derived algebra of A we shall say that A covers A' .

Let $\iota_A = \{(a, a) | a \in A\}$ be the diagonal relation on A . Assume that A is a finite set with $|A| = n$. If θ is an equivalence on A , let $m_\theta = \max_{a \in A} |[a]_\theta|$.

Suppose that τ is another equivalence on A for which $\theta \cap \tau = \iota_A$. Two distinct elements a', a'' from a θ -class $[a]_\theta$ cannot be τ -equivalent. Therefore, A/τ contains at least m_θ blocks. It is possible to construct actually an equivalence τ_θ which has exactly m_θ blocks by labeling the elements of each θ -class by $1, 2, \dots, m_\theta$; two elements of A will be τ -equivalent if they have the same label.

Theorem 2. Let θ be a congruence of the algebra $A = (A, F)$. There exist two algebras B and C such that $B = A/\theta$ and A is a derived algebra of a cascade product $B \omega C$.

Proof. Let τ be an equivalence on A such that $\theta \cap \tau = 1_A$. We shall consider the family of operations $G = \{ \langle [a_1]_\theta, \dots, [a_{n_f}]_\theta, f \rangle \mid a_1, \dots, a_{n_f} \in A, f \in F \}$ on the set A/τ , where

$$\langle [a_1]_\theta, \dots, [a_{n_f}]_\theta, f \rangle ([a'_1]_\tau, \dots, [a'_{n_f}]_\tau) =$$

$[f([a_1]_\theta \cap [a'_1]_\tau, \dots, [a_{n_f}]_\theta \cap [a'_{n_f}]_\tau)]_\tau$, if $[a_j]_\theta \cap [a'_j]_\tau \neq \emptyset$ for $1 \leq j \leq n_f$ and

arbitrary, otherwise.

We have used here the fact that if $[a_j]_\theta \cap [a'_j]_\tau \neq \emptyset$ then this set can contain at most one element.

The mapping $\omega: (A/\theta)^\theta \times F \rightarrow G$ is given by $\omega([a_1]_\theta, \dots, [a_{n_f}]_\theta, f) = \langle [a_1]_\theta, \dots, [a_{n_f}]_\theta, f \rangle$; the algebra C is defined by $C = (A/\tau, G)$.

Let $W \subseteq A/\theta \times A/\tau$ be the set $W = \{ ([a]_\theta, [a']_\tau) \mid [a]_\theta \cap [a']_\tau \neq \emptyset \}$; we claim that W is a subalgebra of $B \omega C$.

Indeed, assume that $([a_1]_\theta, [a'_1]_\tau), \dots, ([a_{n_f}]_\theta, [a'_{n_f}]_\tau)$ are n_f arbitrary elements of $B \omega C$. We have

$$\tilde{f}([a_1]_\theta, [a'_1]_\tau, \dots, [a_{n_f}]_\theta, [a'_{n_f}]_\tau) = ([f(a_1, \dots, a_{n_f})]_\theta),$$

$$\omega([a_1]_\theta, \dots, [a_{n_f}]_\theta, f)([a'_1]_\tau, \dots, [a'_{n_f}]_\tau) =$$

$$= ([f(a_1, \dots, a_{n_f})]_\theta, [f(a''_1, \dots, a''_{n_f})]_\tau), \text{ where } [a''_j]_\tau = [a_j]_\theta \cap [a'_j]_\tau$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n_f$. Due to the fact $(a_j, a''_j) \in \theta$ for $1 \leq j \leq n_f$

we obtain $(f(a_1, \dots, a_{n_f}), f(a''_1, \dots, a''_{n_f})) \in \theta$, which indicates that

$$f(a''_1, \dots, a''_{n_f}) \in [f(a_1, \dots, a_{n_f})]_\theta \cap [f(a''_1, \dots, a''_{n_f})]_\tau. \text{ Thus,}$$

$$\tilde{f}([a_1]_\theta, [a'_1]_\tau, \dots, [a_{n_f}]_\theta, [a'_{n_f}]_\tau) \in W, \text{ which points out that } W \text{ is a sub-}$$

algebra of $B \omega C$.

Moreover, the mapping $\psi: W \rightarrow A$ given by $\psi([a]_\theta, [a']_\tau) = a$ is a surjective homomorphism. Eventually, we shall remark that if A is a finite algebra then we can choose τ such that the base set of C will contain $m = \max \{ |[a]_\theta| \mid a \in A \}$ blocks,

Lemma 3. Let \mathcal{D} be an a.d. of the algebra $A = (A, F)$. If $\theta_{\mathcal{D}}$ is the congruence related to the partition $P_{\mathcal{D}}$ of the Yoeli algebra $Y(A, B)$ then $Y(A, B)/\theta_{\mathcal{D}}$ is isomorphic to B .

Proof. Assume that $Y(A, B) = (K, \bar{F})$. The projection $\pi: K \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ previously defined is a surjective homomorphism and we have $\ker \pi = \theta_{\mathcal{D}}$. Therefore, B is isomorphic to $Y(A, B)/\ker \pi$, i.e. to $Y(A, B)/\theta_{\mathcal{D}}$.

Theorem 3. Let \mathcal{D} be an a.d. of the algebra $A = (A, F)$. For every algebra $B = A/\mathcal{D}$ there exists an algebra C such that A is a derived algebra of a certain cascade product $B \omega C$.

Proof. If $B = (\mathcal{D}, \bar{F}) \in A/\mathcal{D}$ we can consider the Yoeli algebra $Y(A, B) = (K, \bar{F})$ and the partition $P_{\mathcal{D}}$. It is easy to see that the equivalence $\theta_{\mathcal{D}}$ generated by $P_{\mathcal{D}}$ is a congruence of $Y(A, B)$; the class $[(a, B)]_{\theta_{\mathcal{D}}}$ has the same cardinality as the set B . Using Theorem 2 we can find an algebra C such that $Y(A, B)$ is a derived algebra of the cascade product $Y(A, B)/\theta_{\mathcal{D}} \omega C$.

According to Lemma 3, $Y(A, B)/\theta_{\mathcal{D}}$ is isomorphic to B , hence $Y(A, B)$ is a derived algebra of $B \omega C$. Since A is a derived algebra of $Y(A, B)$ (being a homomorphic image of this algebra) it follows that A is a derived algebra of $B \omega C$.

Remark 1. If the algebra A is finite, we have noticed that $[(a, B)]_{\theta}$ contains $|B|$ elements. Therefore, we can choose τ such that τ is an equivalence on the base set K of $Y(A, B)$ and K/τ contains a number of elements equal to the largest number of elements contained by a class $[(a, B)]_{\theta_{\mathcal{D}}}$, i.e. by the largest block of \mathcal{D} .

III. A COVERING PROPERTY FOR FINITE CONTRACTIVE ALGEBRAS

We shall consider now a class of algebras which generalizes a certain property of finite unary algebras.

Definition 4. An algebra $A = (A, F)$ is said to be contractive if for any $f \in F$, the set $\underbrace{f(A, \dots, A)}_{n_f}$ is strictly included in A .

For instance, any unary algebra $A = (A, \{f_1, \dots, f_n\})$ in which no operation $f_j: A \rightarrow A$ is surjective is a contractive algebra.

Any right-null semigroup is also contractive, etc.

Lemma 4. If A is a contractive algebra then the family of sets $\mathcal{D} = \{W_a | W_a = A \setminus \{a\}, a \in A\}$ is an a.d. of A .

Proof. \mathcal{D} is clearly a decomposition. Moreover, we have for $f \in F$ and $W_{a_1}, \dots, W_{a_{n_f}} \in \mathcal{D}$:

$$f(W_{a_1}, \dots, W_{a_{n_f}}) \subseteq f(A, \dots, A) \subsetneq A.$$

Therefore, there is an element $a \in A \setminus f(W_{a_1}, \dots, W_{a_{n_f}})$ which depends only on f such that $f(W_{a_1}, \dots, W_{a_{n_f}}) \subseteq W_a$. We proved that \mathcal{D} is an a.d. on A .

In the family of algebras A/\mathcal{D} there is an algebra $B \in A/\mathcal{D}$, $B = (\mathcal{D}, \bar{F})$ such that each $\bar{f} \in \bar{F}$ is a constant. Namely, with the above notations we shall have $\bar{f}(W_{a_1}, \dots, W_{a_n}) = W_a$.

Let Contr_m be the family of all contractive algebras having only m -ary operations. If $A \in \text{Contr}_m$ then we can cover A with a cascade $B \circ C$ such that B has constant operations, according to Lemma 4 and Theorem 3.

The base set of the Yoeli algebra attached to B is $K = \{(a, W_b) | a \neq b\}$; the base set for C is a quotient set K/τ , where τ is an equivalence such that $\theta_{\mathcal{D}} \cap \tau = \text{id}_K$. This means that if $a \neq b$ and $a' \neq b'$ (i.e. if $a \in W_b$ and $a' \in W_{b'}$) then $((a, W_b), (a', W_{b'})) \in \tau$ implies $a = a'$. Moreover, it is impossible to choose τ such that K/τ contains $|A| - 1$ elements.

If f is an m -ary operation from \bar{F} we have the operation $\langle [(a_1, W_{b_1})]_{\theta_{\mathcal{D}}}, \dots, [(a_m, W_{b_m})]_{\theta_{\mathcal{D}}}, f \rangle$, for every collection $\{[(a_1, W_{b_1})]_{\theta_{\mathcal{D}}}, \dots, [(a_m, W_{b_m})]_{\theta_{\mathcal{D}}}\}$ of $\theta_{\mathcal{D}}$ -classes. Assuming that $[(a_j, W_{b_j})]_{\theta_{\mathcal{D}}} \cap [(a'_j, W_{b'_j})]_{\tau} = (a'_j, W_{b'_j})$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$, we shall have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle [(a_1, W_{b_1})]_{\theta_{\mathcal{D}}}, \dots, [(a_m, W_{b_m})]_{\theta_{\mathcal{D}}}, f \rangle ([a'_1, W_{b'_1}]_{\tau}, \dots, [a'_m, W_{b'_m}]_{\tau}) = \\ & = [\bar{f}((a''_1, W_{b''_1}), \dots, (a''_m, W_{b''_m}))]_{\tau} = [f(a''_1, \dots, a''_m), \bar{f}(W_{b''_1}, \dots, W_{b''_m})]_{\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of $\theta_{\mathcal{D}}$ it follows that $b''_j = b_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. Therefore, if $\bar{f}(W_{b_1}, \dots, W_{b_m}) = W_b$ then we shall obtain as a result of the above operation the

τ -class $[f(a''_1, \dots, a''_m), W_b]_{\tau}$. If any of the sets $[(a_j, W_{b_j})]_{\theta_{\mathcal{D}}} \cap [(a'_j, W_{b'_j})]_{\tau}$ is

void then we shall adopt as the value of the above operation $[(f(a'_1, \dots, a'_m), W_b)]_\tau$. We infer that we can not exhaust all the elements of the quotient set K/τ by considering the set $\{[(f(a''_1, \dots, a''_m), W_b)]_\tau \mid a''_j \in A \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq m\}$; this points that C is also a contractive algebra. Since the base set of C contains $|A| - 1$ elements we can repeat the previous construction and obtain a contractive algebra with $|A| - 2$ elements, etc. We have thus proved

Theorem 4. Let $A = (A, F)$ be a finite algebra from Contr_m . There exists a cascade of some algebras from Contr_m , having constant operations, which covers A .

REFERENCES

- [1] Ginzburg, A. *Algebraic Theory of Automata*, Academic Press, New York, 1968.
- [2] Gratzner, G. *Universal Algebra*, D. van Nostrand Comp., Inc., Princeton, 1968.
- [3] Hartmanis, J., Stearns, R. E. *Algebraic Structure Theory of Sequential Machines*, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., Prentice-Hall, 1966.
- [4] Yoeli, M. "Decomposition of Finite Automata," TR. No. 10, US Office of Naval Research, Hebrew University, Jerusalem, 1963.