

A Note on Strong Differential Subordinations Using Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh Operators

Alina ALB LUPAŞ

Abstract. In the present paper we establish several strong differential subordinations regarding the new operator SR^m defined by the Hadamard product of the Sălăgean operator S^m and the Ruscheweyh operator R^m , given by $SR^m : \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $SR^m f(z, \zeta) = (S^m * R^m) f(z, \zeta)$, where $\mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^* = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U \times \bar{U}), f(z, \zeta) = z + a_{n+1}(\zeta)z^{n+1} + \dots, z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}\}$ is the class of normalized analytic functions.

Keywords: strong differential subordination, univalent function, convex function, best dominant, differential operator, convolution product.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 30C45, 30A20, 34A40.

1 Introduction

Denote by U the unit disc of the complex plane $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, $\bar{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ the closed unit disc of the complex plane and $\mathcal{H}(U \times \bar{U})$ the class of analytic functions in $U \times \bar{U}$.

Let

$$\mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^* = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U \times \bar{U}), f(z, \zeta) = z + a_{n+1}(\zeta)z^{n+1} + \dots, z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}\},$$

where $a_k(\zeta)$ are holomorphic functions in \bar{U} for $k \geq n + 1$, and

$$\mathcal{H}^*[a, n, \zeta] = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U \times \bar{U}), f(z) = a + a_n(\zeta)z^n + a_{n+1}(\zeta)z^{n+1} + \dots, z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}\},$$

for $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_k(\zeta)$ are holomorphic functions in \bar{U} for $k \geq n$.

Denote by

$$\mathcal{H}_u(U) = \{f \in \mathcal{H}^*[a, n, \zeta], f(z, \zeta) \text{ univalent in } U, \text{ for all } \zeta \in \bar{U}\},$$

$$K^* = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}^*[a, n, \zeta], \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf''(z, \zeta)}{f'(z, \zeta)} + 1 > 0, z \in U, \text{ for all } \zeta \in \bar{U} \right\},$$

the class of convex functions and

$$S^* = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}^*[a, n, \zeta], \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z, \zeta)}{f(z, \zeta)} > 0, z \in U, \text{ for all } \zeta \in \bar{U} \right\}$$

the class of starlike functions.

Definition 1.1. [2] Let $f(z, \zeta), H(x, \zeta)$ analytic in $U \times \bar{U}$. The function $f(z, \zeta)$ is said to be strongly subordinate to $H(z, \zeta)$ if there exists a function w analytic in U , with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ such that $f(z, \zeta) = H(w(z), \zeta)$ for all $\zeta \in \bar{U}$. In such a case we write $f(z, \zeta) \prec\prec H(z, \zeta), z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$.

Remark 1.1. [2] (i) Since $f(z, \zeta)$ is analytic in $U \times \bar{U}$, for all $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, and univalent in U , for all $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, Definition 1.1 is equivalent to $f(0, \zeta) = H(0, \zeta)$, for all $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, and $f(U \times \bar{U}) \subset H(U \times \bar{U})$.

(ii) If $H(z, \zeta) \equiv H(z)$ and $f(z, \zeta) \equiv f(z)$, the strong subordination becomes the usual notion of subordination.

Lemma 1.1. [4, p. 71] Let $h(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function with $h(0, \zeta) = a$ for every $\zeta \in \bar{U}$ and let $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^*$ be a complex number with $\operatorname{Re} \gamma \geq 0$. If $p \in \mathcal{H}^*[a, n, \zeta]$ and

$$p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{\gamma} zp'(z, \zeta) \prec\prec h(z, \zeta),$$

then

$$p(z, \zeta) \prec\prec g(z, \zeta) \prec\prec h(z, \zeta),$$

where $g(z, \zeta) = \frac{\gamma}{nz^{\frac{\gamma}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{\gamma}{n}-1} dt$ is convex and it is the best dominant.

Lemma 1.2. [3] Let $g(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function in U , for all $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, and let

$$h(z, \zeta) = g(z, \zeta) + n\alpha z g'(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and n is a positive integer. If

$$p(z, \zeta) = g(0, \zeta) + p_n(\zeta) z^n + p_{n+1}(\zeta) z^{n+1} + \dots, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

is holomorphic in U , for all $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, and

$$p(z, \zeta) + \alpha zp'(z, \zeta) \prec\prec h(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

then

$$p(z, \zeta) \prec\prec g(z, \zeta)$$

and this result is sharp.

Definition 1.2. (Sălăgean [6]) For $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, the operator S^m is defined by $S^m : \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} S^0 f(z, \zeta) &= f(z, \zeta) \\ S^1 f(z, \zeta) &= zf'(z, \zeta) \\ &\dots \\ S^{m+1} f(z, \zeta) &= z(S^m f(z, \zeta))', \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.2. If $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} a_j(\zeta) z^j$, then

$$S^m f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} j^m a_j(\zeta) z^j, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Definition 1.3. (Ruscheweyh [5]) For $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, the operator R^m is defined by $R^m : \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$,

$$R^0 f(z, \zeta) = f(z, \zeta)$$

$$R^1 f(z, \zeta) = z f'(z, \zeta)$$

...

$$(m+1) R^{m+1} f(z, \zeta) = z (R^m f(z, \zeta))' + m R^m f(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Remark 1.3. If $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} a_j(\zeta) z^j$, then

$$R^m f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} C_{m+j-1}^m a_j(\zeta) z^j, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

2 Main Results

Definition 2.1. [1] Let $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Denote by SR^m the operator given by the Hadamard product (the convolution product) of the Sălăgean operator S^m and the Ruscheweyh operator R^m , $SR^m : \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$,

$$SR^m f(z, \zeta) = (S^m * R^m) f(z, \zeta).$$

Remark 2.1. If $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} a_j(\zeta) z^j$, then

$$SR^m f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} C_{m+j-1}^m j^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^j, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $g(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function such that $g(0, \zeta) = 1$ and let h be the function $h(z, \zeta) = g(z, \zeta) + z g'(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$. If $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$ and the strong differential subordination

$$\frac{1}{z} SR^{m+1} f(z, \zeta) + \frac{m}{m+1} z (SR^m f(z, \zeta))'' \prec\prec h(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U} \quad (2.1)$$

holds, then

$$(SR^m f(z, \zeta))' \prec\prec g(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$$

and this result is sharp.

Proof. With notation

$$p(z, \zeta) = (SR^m f(z, \zeta))' = 1 + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} C_{m+j-1}^m j^{m+1} a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1}$$

and $p(0, \zeta) = 1$, we obtain for

$$f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} a_j(\zeta) z^j,$$

$$p(z, \zeta) + zp'(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{z} SR^{m+1} f(z, \zeta) + z \frac{m}{m+1} (SR^m f(z, \zeta))''.$$

We have $p(z, \zeta) + zp'(z, \zeta) \prec\prec h(z, \zeta) = g(z, \zeta) + zg'(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$. By using Lemma 1.2 we obtain $p(z, \zeta) \prec\prec g(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, i.e. $(SR^m f(z, \zeta))' \prec\prec g(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$ and this result is sharp. \square

Theorem 2.2. Let $h(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function such that $h(0, \zeta) = 1$. If $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$ and the strong differential subordination

$$\frac{1}{z} SR^{m+1} f(z, \zeta) + \frac{m}{m+1} z (SR^m f(z, \zeta))'' \prec\prec h(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U} \quad (2.2)$$

holds, then

$$(SR^m f(z, \zeta))' \prec\prec g(z, \zeta) \prec\prec h(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $g(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt$ is convex and it is the best dominant.

Proof. With notation

$$p(z, \zeta) = (SR^m f(z, \zeta))' = 1 + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} C_{m+j-1}^m j^{m+1} a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1}$$

and $p(0, \zeta) = 1$, we obtain for

$$f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} a_j(\zeta) z^j,$$

$$p(z, \zeta) + zp'(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{z} SR^{m+1} f(z, \zeta) + z \frac{m}{m+1} (SR^m f(z, \zeta))''.$$

We have $p(z, \zeta) + zp'(z, \zeta) \prec\prec h(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$. Since $p(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta]$, using Lemma 1.1, for $\gamma = 1$, we obtain $p(z, \zeta) \prec\prec g(z, \zeta) \prec\prec h(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, i.e.

$$(SR^m f(z, \zeta))' \prec\prec g(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt \prec\prec h(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

and $g(z, \zeta)$ is convex and it is the best dominant. \square

Theorem 2.3. Let $g(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function, $g(0, \zeta) = 1$ and let h be the function $h(z, \zeta) = g(z, \zeta) + zg'(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$. If $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$ and verifies the strong differential subordination

$$(SR^m f(z, \zeta))' \prec\prec h(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (2.3)$$

then

$$\frac{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}{z} \prec\prec g(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

and this result is sharp.

Proof. For $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} a_j(\zeta) z^j$ we have

$$SR^m f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} C_{m+j-1}^m j^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^j, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Consider

$$p(z, \zeta) = \frac{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}{z} = \frac{z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} C_{m+j-1}^m j^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^j}{z} = 1 + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} C_{m+j-1}^m j^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1}.$$

We have

$$p(z, \zeta) + zp'(z, \zeta) = (SR^m f(z, \zeta))', \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Then $(SR^m f(z, \zeta))' \prec\prec h(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$ becomes

$$p(z, \zeta) + zp'(z, \zeta) \prec\prec h(z, \zeta) = g(z, \zeta) + zg'(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1.2 we obtain $p(z, \zeta) \prec\prec g(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, i.e. $\frac{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}{z} \prec\prec g(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$. \square

Theorem 2.4. Let $h(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function, $h(0, \zeta) = 1$. If $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$ and verifies the strong differential subordination

$$(SR^m f(z, \zeta))' \prec\prec h(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (2.4)$$

then

$$\frac{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}{z} \prec\prec g(z, \zeta) \prec\prec h(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $g(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt$ is convex and it is the best dominant.

Proof. For $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} a_j(\zeta) z^j$ we have

$$SR^m f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} C_{m+j-1}^m j^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^j, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} p(z, \zeta) &= \frac{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}{z} = \frac{z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} C_{m+j-1}^m j^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^j}{z} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} C_{m+j-1}^m j^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1} \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta]. \end{aligned}$$

We have $p(z, \zeta) + zp'(z, \zeta) = (SR^m f(z, \zeta))'$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$.

Then $(SR^m f(z, \zeta))' \prec\prec h(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$ becomes $p(z, \zeta) + zp'(z, \zeta) \prec\prec h(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$. By using Lemma 1.1, for $\gamma = 1$, we obtain $p(z, \zeta) \prec\prec g(z, \zeta) \prec\prec h(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, i.e.

$$\frac{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}{z} \prec\prec g(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt \prec\prec h(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

and $g(z, \zeta)$ is convex and it is the best dominant. \square

Theorem 2.5. *Let $g(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function such that $g(0, \zeta) = 1$ and let h be the function $h(z, \zeta) = g(z, \zeta) + zg'(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$. If $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$ and verifies the strong differential subordination*

$$\left(\frac{zSR^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{SR^m f(z, \zeta)} \right)' \prec\prec h(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (2.5)$$

then

$$\frac{SR^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{SR^m f(z, \zeta)} \prec\prec g(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$$

and this result is sharp.

Proof. For $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} a_j(\zeta) z^j$ we have

$$SR^m f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} C_{m+j-1}^m j^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^j, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} p(z, \zeta) &= \frac{SR^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{SR^m f(z, \zeta)} = \frac{z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} C_{m+j}^{m+1} j^{m+1} a_j^2(\zeta) z^j}{z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} C_{m+j-1}^m j^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^j} \\ &= \frac{1 + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} C_{m+j}^{m+1} j^{m+1} a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1}}{1 + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} C_{m+j-1}^m j^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$p'(z, \zeta) = \frac{(SR^{m+1}f(z, \zeta))'}{SR^m f(z, \zeta)} - p(z, \zeta) \cdot \frac{(SR^m f(z, \zeta))'}{SR^m f(z, \zeta)}.$$

Then

$$p(z, \zeta) + zp'(z, \zeta) = \left(\frac{zSR^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{SR^m f(z, \zeta)} \right)'.$$

Relation (2.5) becomes

$$p(z, \zeta) + zp'(z, \zeta) \prec\prec h(z, \zeta) = g(z, \zeta) + zg'(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \quad \zeta \in \bar{U}$$

and by using Lemma 1.2 we obtain $p(z, \zeta) \prec\prec g(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, i.e.

$$\frac{SR^{m+1}f(z, \zeta)}{SR^m f(z, \zeta)} \prec\prec g(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \quad \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

□

References

- [1] A. Alb Lupaş, *A note on differential subordinations using Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh operators*, CAIM 2009, Romai Journal, vol. 6, no. 1(2010), 1-4.
- [2] G. I. Oros, Gh. Oros, *Strong differential subordination*, Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 33 (2009), 249-257.
- [3] S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu, *On some classes of first-order differential subordinations*, Michigan Math. J., 32 (1985), no. 2, 185-195.
- [4] S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations. Theory and Applications*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 225, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, Basel, 2000.
- [5] St. Ruscheweyh, *New criteria for univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **49** (1975), 109-115.
- [6] G. St. Sălăgean, *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer Verlag, Berlin, **1013** (1983), 362-372.