

# Asymptotic Behavior of Differential Equations

Raúl Naulin and Jaime Urbina

Key words and phrases: Scalar dichotomies, asymptotic integration.

AMS (MOS) subject classification: Primary 39A11, Secondary 39A10.

## Abstract

In this paper, a notion of a scalar dichotomy for an ordinary differential equations of order  $n$  is introduced. Using this concept, a general theory of asymptotic integration of the nonautonomous equation  $x^{(n)} + (a_{n-1}(t) + b_{n-1}(t))x^{(n-1)} + \dots + (a_0(t) + b_0(t))x = 0$  is given, provided the estimates of the basic solutions of the linear equation  $x^{(n)} + a_{n-1}(t)x^{(n-1)} + \dots + a_0(t)x = 0$ , up to the  $p$ -derivative,  $0 \leq p \leq n - 1$ , are known.

## 1 Introduction

Let us consider the differential operators

$$M[x](t) = x^{(n)}(t) + a_{n-1}(t)x^{(n-1)}(t) + \dots + a_0(t)x(t)$$

and

$$N[x](t) = b_p(t)x^{(p)}(t) + b_{p-1}(t)x^{(p-1)}(t) + \dots + b_0(t)x(t), \quad 0 \leq p \leq n - 1,$$

where the coefficients  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  are continuous functions on the interval  $J = [0, \infty)$ . This paper treats the problem of asymptotic integration of the equation

$$M[y] = N[y], \tag{1}$$

provided we have some information about

$$\mathcal{X} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}, \tag{2}$$

a basis of the space of solutions of the nonperturbed equation

$$M[x] = 0. \quad (3)$$

Our main hypothesis is the assumption of existence of positive functions  $m_i^r(t)$ , such that

$$|x_i^{(r)}(t)| \leq m_i^r(t), \quad t \in I(t_0), \quad r \in \mathcal{N}_p, \quad (4)$$

where  $I(t_0) = [t_0, \infty)$  and

$$\mathcal{N} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, \mathcal{N}_p = \{0, 1, \dots, p\}, \quad 0 \leq p \leq n - 1.$$

The desired result is the obtaining of  $n$  linearly independent solutions  $y_i$  of Eq. (3) having the asymptotic formulas

$$y_i^{(r)} = x_i^{(r)} + o(m_i^r), \quad r \in \mathcal{N}_p,$$

where  $o(m_i^r)$  denotes a function satisfying

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{o(m_i^r)(t)}{m_i^r(t)} = 0.$$

This problem is classical [2, 3]. In the scope of this paper we refer to the research accomplished in [5, 6, 7], where a notion of a scalar dichotomy is introduced as an analog to the Levinson dichotomy for diagonal systems [1, 4].

In this paper we introduce a new definition of a scalar Levinson dichotomy, different from that given in [5, 6]. We show that this concept can be used in the description of the solutions of Eq. (1). In an example displayed in the section 4 we show how the asymptotic integration of Eq. (1) can be obtained for equations where the Levinson asymptotic theorem [1, 2] cannot be applied. The notion of a Levinson scalar dichotomy is motivated by the paper of Kusano [3].

## 2 Preliminaries

For a positive function  $h$ ,  $L^1(h)$  will denote the space of  $h$ -integrable functions, that is  $f \in L^1(h)$  iff  $h^{-1}f$  is integrable.

Let us assume that Eq. (3) has the basis (2). By  $W$  we denote the Wronskian of these solutions;  $W_i$  is the determinant obtained from the Wronskii

matrix by replacing the  $i$ -column by the  $n$ -basic vector  $e_n = \text{col}(0, 0, \dots, 1)$ . The following identities are known

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{(r)} W_i(t) = 0, \quad 0 \leq r \leq n-2, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{(n-1)}(t) W_i(t) = W(t). \quad (5)$$

Further, besides the functions  $m_i^r$  satisfying (4) we suppose that there exists a set of positive and continuous functions,  $R_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , satisfying

$$\left| \frac{W_i(t)}{W(t)} \right| \leq R_i(t), \quad t \in I(t_0). \quad (6)$$

Let us introduce the following notations

$$G_{i,j,r}(t) = \frac{m_i^r(t) m_j^r(s)}{m_i^r(s) m_j^r(t)}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \quad r \in \mathcal{N}_p,$$

and

$$h_r(t) = \max\{m_i^r(t) R_i(t) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}, \quad r \in \mathcal{N}_p, \quad (7)$$

where  $p$  represent an integer number, such that  $p \in \mathcal{N}_p$ . In the future, the ordered collection of positive functions

$$\Omega = \{h_0, h_1, \dots, h_p\}$$

will be called the weight of the scalar dichotomy of precision  $p$ .

**Definition 1** We shall say that Eq. (3) allows a Levinson scalar dichotomy of precision  $p$  iff:

$D_1$  : There exist a basis (2) of the space of solutions of Eq. (3) and functions  $m_i^r$ ,  $R_i$  satisfying (4) and (6).

$D_2$  : For every pair of integers  $(i, j) \in \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N}$  one satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^{\infty} G_{i,j,r}(t, \tau) |f(\tau)| d\tau = 0, \quad \forall f \in L^1(h_r), \quad 0 \leq r \leq p, \quad (8)$$

either

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_t^{\infty} G_{i,j,r}(t, \tau) |f(\tau)| d\tau = 0, \quad \forall f \in L^1(h_r), \quad 0 \leq r \leq p. \quad (9)$$

### 3 Asymptotic integration

Suppose that the estimates (4) and (6) are valid. To each solution  $x_i \in X$  and  $0 \leq p \leq n-1$ ,  $C^{(p)}(x_i)$  denote the functional space of  $p$ -times continuously differentiable functions  $f$ , such that  $(m_i^r)^{-1}f^{(r)}$  is bounded for any  $r \in \mathcal{N}_p$ . The space  $C^{(p)}(x_i)$  can be endowed with the norm

$$|f|_{(p)} = \max\{|(m_i^r)^{-1}f^{(r)}|_\infty : 0 \leq r \leq p\},$$

where

$$|f|_\infty = \sup\{|f(t)| : t \in I(t_0)\}.$$

Clearly, the pair  $(C^{(p)}(x_i), |\cdot|_{(p)})$  is a complete normed space. For each fixed  $i \in N$ , let us define the following sets of integers numbers:

$$I_i = \{j \in \mathcal{N} : (j, i) \text{ satisfies (14)}\},$$

$$F_i = \{j \in \mathcal{N} : (j, i) \text{ satisfies (15)}\}.$$

**Lemma 1** *If  $y \in C^{(p)}(x_i)$ , then*

$$|N[y](t)| \leq \sum_{r=0}^p m_i^r(t) |b_r(t)| |y|_{(p)}.$$

**Proof.** It follows from

$$|N[y](t)| \leq \sum_{r=0}^p |b_r(t)| m_i^r(t) |(m_i^r)^{-1}(t) y^{(r)}(t)|$$

and the definition of the norm  $|\cdot|_{(p)}$ . □

With the notation

$$U_j(t, s) = x_j(t) \frac{W_j(s)}{W(s)}; \quad 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

the identities (5) can be written in the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^r}{\partial t^r} U_j(t, t) = 0, \quad r \in \mathcal{N}_{n-2}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n} U_j(t, t) = 1.$$

For each  $i$ ,  $i \in \mathcal{N}$ , let us consider the operator

$$\mathcal{T}(y)(t) = x_i(t) + \sum_{k \in I_i} \int_{t_0}^t U_k(t, s) N[y](s) ds - \sum_{k \in F_i} \int_t^\infty U_k(t, s) N[y](s) ds \quad (10)$$

By differentiating (10) we obtain for  $0 \leq r \leq p$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}^{(r)}(y)(t) &= x_i^{(r)}(t) + \sum_{k \in I_i} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\partial^r}{\partial t^r} U_k(t, s) N[y](s) ds \\ &\quad - \sum_{k \in F_i} \int_t^\infty \frac{\partial^r}{\partial t^r} U_k(t, s) N[y](s) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}^{(n)}(y)(t) &= x_i^{(n)}(t) + \sum_{k \in I_i} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n} U_k(t, s) N[y](s) ds \\ &\quad - \sum_{k \in F_i} \int_t^\infty \frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n} U_k(t, s) N[y](s) ds + N[y](t). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Although the last formulas (11) and (12) have a formal deduction, they can be justified by means of the following

**Theorem 1** *Let us assume that Eq. (1) allows the Levinson scalar dichotomy of precision  $p$  (8)-(9). If  $b_r \in L^1(h_r)$ ,  $0 \leq r \leq p$ , then the Eq. (1) has a set of  $n$  linearly independent solutions  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$ , such that*

$$y_i^{(r)} = x_i^{(r)} + o(m_i^r), \quad 0 \leq r \leq p. \quad (13)$$

**Proof.** We will prove that

$$\mathcal{T} : C^{(p)}(x_i) \rightarrow C^{(p)}(x_i), \quad (14)$$

and that  $\mathcal{T}$  is a contraction. From (11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |m_i^r(t)^{-1} \mathcal{T}^{(r)}(y)(t)| &\leq |m_i^r(t)^{-1} x_i^{(r)}(t)| \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \in I_i} \int_{t_0}^t m_i^r(t)^{-1} \left| \frac{\partial^r}{\partial t^r} U_k(t, s) \right| |N[y](s)| ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \in F_i} \int_t^\infty m_i^r(t)^{-1} \left| \frac{\partial^r}{\partial t^r} U_k(t, s) \right| |N[y](s)| ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 1, we can write the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |m_i^r(t)^{-1} \mathcal{T}^{(r)}(y)(t)| &\leq |x_i|_{(p)} \\ &+ \sum_{k \in I_i} \sum_{r=0}^p \int_{t_0}^t G_{k,i,r}(t,s) R_k(s) m_k^r(s) |b_r(s)| ds |y|_{(p)} \\ &+ \sum_{k \in F_i} \sum_{r=0}^p \int_t^\infty G_{k,i,r}(t,s) R_k(s) m_k^r(s) |b_r(s)| ds |y|_{(p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $b_r \in L^1(h_r)$ , the right hand side of this last estimate is bounded. Therefore (14) is satisfied. In analogous form we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |m_i^r(t)^{-1} \mathcal{T}^{(r)}(y-z)(t)| &\leq \sum_{k \in I_i} \sum_{r=0}^p \int_{t_0}^t G_{k,i,r}(t,s) h_r(s) |b_r(s)| ds |y-z|_{(p)} \\ &+ \sum_{k \in F_i} \sum_{r=0}^p \int_t^\infty G_{k,i,r}(t,s) h_r(s) |b_r(s)| ds |y-z|_{(p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using again (8) and (9), there exist a  $t_0$  such that for  $t \geq t_0$  we have

$$\sum_{r=0}^p \left( \sum_{k \in I_i} \int_{t_0}^t + \sum_{k \in F_i} \int_t^\infty \right) G_{k,i,r}(t,s) h_r(s) |b_r(s)| ds < 1.$$

From this we obtain that the operator  $\mathcal{T}$  is contractive. Henceforth  $\mathcal{T}$  has a fixed point  $y_i$  satisfying

$$y_i(t) = x_i(t) + \sum_{k \in I_i} \int_{t_0}^t U_k(t,s) N[y](s) ds - \sum_{k \in F_i} \int_t^\infty U_k(t,s) N[y](s) ds.$$

From this identity, we obtain that  $y_i$  is a function of class  $C^n$ , and from (11) and (12), we may prove that  $y_i$  satisfies Eq.(1). Now, for each  $r \in \mathcal{N}_p$ , Definition 1 implies that the function

$$\sum_{k \in I_i} \int_{t_0}^t G_{k,i,r}(t,s) h_r(s) |b_r(s)| ds + \sum_{k \in F_i} \int_t^\infty G_{k,i,r}(t,s) h_r(s) |b_r(s)| ds$$

tends to zero if  $t$  tends to infinity. Thus, formula (13) is valid.  $\square$

## 4 An example

Let us contemplate the Euler equation

$$x'' + t^{-1}x' - t^{-2}x = 0. \quad (15)$$

We are interested in the asymptotic integration of the equation

$$y'' + (t^{-1} - b_1(t))y' - (t^{-2} - b_0(t))y = 0. \quad (16)$$

A right step to solve this problem is in the reducing Eq. (16) to the two dimensional system:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}' = \left[ t^{-2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^2 \\ 1 & -t \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b_0 & b_1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \geq 1, \quad (17)$$

a system that can be studied starting from the linear one

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}' = t^{-2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^2 \\ 1 & -t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \geq 1.$$

We observe that the function

$$A(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ t^{-2} & -t^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} A(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The last says that system (17) cannot be integrated from the ideas of Levinson asymptotic theorem [2].

Nevertheless, Eq. (16) can be asymptotic integrated from Theorem 1 of this paper. We point out that the set  $\{t^{-1}, t\}$  constitutes a basis of Eq. (15). Condition (4) is accomplished with  $m_1^0(t) = t^{-1}$ ,  $m_2^0(t) = t$ ,  $m_1^1(t) = t^{-2}$ ,  $m_2^1(t) = 1$ ,  $R_1(t) = t^2$  and  $R_2(t) = 1$ . From definition (7) we obtain  $h_0(t) = t$ ,  $h_1(t) = 1$ . The pairs of indexes (1,1), (2,2) and (2,1) satisfy condition (9), while (1,2) satisfies (8). Thus Eq. (15) has a Levinson scalar dichotomy of precision 1. From Theorem 1, the Eq. (16) has two linearly independent solutions satisfying

$$y_i^{(r)}(t) = (1 + o(1))x_i^{(r)}(t); \quad i = 1, 2, \quad r = 0, 1,$$

if  $b_1 \in L^1(1)$  and  $b_0 \in L^1(t^{-1})$ .

The reader may observe that Eq. (16) can be reduced to the form

$$\ddot{z}(s) - b_1(e^s)\dot{z}(s) - (1 - b_0(e^s))z(s) = 0,$$

where  $\dot{z} = \frac{dz}{ds}$ . The asymptotic integration of this equation can be accomplished by Levinson asymptotic theorem [2] and the results of the asymptotic integration coincide with those obtained from Theorem 1 of this paper.

The reader may observe that the analysis of Eq. (15) could be adapted to the non Euler equation

$$x''(t) + \frac{2 \sin^2 t}{\sin t \cos t - t} x'(t) + \frac{\sin t \cos t + t}{t - \sin t \cos t} x(t) = 0, \quad t > 1,$$

with linearly independent solutions  $x_1(t) = \sin t$ ,  $x_2(t) = t \cos t$ . It is not clear the possibility of applying the Levinson asymptotic theorem to the equation

$$x''(t) + \left( \frac{2 \sin^2 t}{\sin t \cos t - t} + a(t) \right) x'(t) + \left( \frac{\sin t \cos t + t}{t - \sin t \cos t} + b(t) \right) x(t) = 0, \quad t > 1.$$

**Acknowledgements.** This research was supported by the grant Proyecto CI-1-0101-0782/95.

## References

- [1] Coddington, E. A., Levinson, N., *Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations*, McGraw-Hill, New York (1965).
- [2] Eastham, M. S. P., *The Asymptotic Solution of Linear Differential Systems (Applications of the Levinson Theorem)*, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1989).
- [3] Kusano, T., Asymptotic relationships between two higher order ordinary differential equations, *Internat. J. Math. And. Math. Sci.*, 6, 559-566 (1983).
- [4] Levinson, N., Asymptotic nature of solutions of linear systems of differential equations, *Duke Math. J.*, 15, 129-139 (1948).
- [5] Naulin, R., Dichotomies and asymptotic integration of linear ordinary differential equations of order  $n$ , *Journal of Nonlinear Analysis, TMA*, Vol. 30, 2, 1125-1132 (1997).
- [6] Naulin, R., Urbina, J., Asymptotic integration of linear ordinary differential equations of order  $n$ , *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 80 (1-2), 111-123 (1998).
- [7] Urbina, J., *Integración Asintótica de Ecuaciones Diferenciales Ordinarias de Orden  $n$* , Trabajo de Ascenso, Universidad de Oriente (1996).