

PROPERTIES OF WALLMAN TYPE SPACES

Carmen Vlad

1. INTRODUCTION. Let X be an abstract set and \mathcal{L} a lattice of subsets of X . Associated with the pair X, \mathcal{L} are the general Wallman spaces $I_R(\mathcal{L})$ and $I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ (see below for definitions). In section 3 we briefly review the fundamental properties of these spaces and interconnections between these spaces when a pair of lattices $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ of subsets of X is given with $\mathcal{L}_1 \subset \mathcal{L}_2$. We then turn attention to the space $I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ and the lattice $W_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$. If \mathcal{L} is disjointive, then $W_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ is replete as is well-known. We consider conditions equivalent to $W_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ being prime complete as well as $W_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ being Lindelöf.

Finally, we investigate the preservation of some of the properties introduced for the case of a pair of lattices $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ with $\mathcal{L}_1 \subset \mathcal{L}_2$.

Throughout, we adhere to standard lattice and measure terminology (see [1],[3],[5],[7] and [9]). We summarize these for the reader's convenience in section 2. In section 3, we consider the space $I_R(\mathcal{L})$, while section 4 is devoted to the space $I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ and the associated lattices therein.

2. BACKGROUND AND NOTATIONS. Let X be an abstract set and \mathcal{L} a lattice of subsets of X . It is assumed that $\emptyset, X \in \mathcal{L}$. We denote by $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$, the algebra generated by \mathcal{L} ; $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L})$, the σ -algebra generated by \mathcal{L} ; $\delta(\mathcal{L})$, the lattice of all countable intersections of sets from \mathcal{L} ; $\tau(\mathcal{L})$, the lattice of arbitrary intersections of sets of \mathcal{L} ; $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$, the smallest class closed under countable in-

tersections and unions which contains \mathcal{L} ; $s(\mathcal{L})$, the lattice derived Souslin sets. The lattice \mathcal{L} is called:

(2.1) delta lattice (δ -lattice) if \mathcal{L} is closed under countable intersections.

(2.2) complemented if $L \in \mathcal{L}$ implies $L' \in \mathcal{L}$ (where prime denotes complement).

(2.3) complement generated if $L \in \mathcal{L}$ implies $L = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L'_n$, $L_n \in \mathcal{L}$.

(2.4) separating (or T_1) if $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$ implies there exists $L \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $x \in L$, $y \notin L$.

(2.5) disjunctive if for $x \in X$ and $L_1 \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $x \notin L_1$ there exists $L_2 \in \mathcal{L}$ with $x \in L_2$ and $L_1 \cap L_2 = \emptyset$.

(2.6) T_2 -lattice if for $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$, there exist $L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $x \in L_1$, $y \in L_2$ and $L_1 \cap L_2 = \emptyset$.

(2.7) regular if for $x \in X$ and $L_1 \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $x \notin L_1$ there exist $L_2, L_3 \in \mathcal{L}$ with $x \in L_2$, $L_1 \subset L_3$ and $L_2 \cap L_3 = \emptyset$.

(2.8) normal if for any $L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{L}$ with $L_1 \cap L_2 = \emptyset$ there exist $L_3, L_4 \in \mathcal{L}$ with $L_1 \subset L_3$, $L_2 \subset L_4$ and $L_3 \cap L_4 = \emptyset$.

(2.9) compact if for any collection $\{L_\alpha\}$ of sets of \mathcal{L} with $\bigcap L_\alpha = \emptyset$, there exists a finite subcollection with empty intersection.

(2.10) countably compact if for any countable collection $\{L_\alpha\}$ of sets of \mathcal{L} with $\bigcap L_\alpha = \emptyset$, there exists a finite subcollection with empty intersection.

(2.11) Lindelöf if for any collection $\{L_\alpha\}$ of sets of \mathcal{L} with $\bigcap L_\alpha = \emptyset$, there exists a countable subcollection with empty intersection.

We give now some measure terminology which will be used throughout. $M(\mathcal{L})$ denotes the set of finite valued bounded finitely additive measures on $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$. Without loss of generality may assume throughout that all measures are non-negative. A measure $\mu \in M(\mathcal{L})$ is called:

(2.12) \mathcal{G} -smooth on \mathcal{L} if for all sequences $\{L_n\}$ of sets of \mathcal{L} with $L_n \downarrow \emptyset$, $\mu(L_n) \rightarrow 0$.

(2.13) \mathcal{G} -smooth on $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$ if for all sequences $\{A_n\}$ of sets of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$ with $A_n \downarrow \emptyset$, $\mu(A_n) \rightarrow 0$.

(2.14) \mathcal{C} -smooth on \mathcal{L} if for every net $\{L_\alpha\}$ of sets from \mathcal{L} with $L_\alpha \downarrow \emptyset$, $\mu(L_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$.

(2.15) \mathcal{L} -regular if for any $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$,

$$\mu(A) = \sup \{ \mu(L) / L \subset A, L \in \mathcal{L} \}.$$

In addition we denote by:

$M_R(\mathcal{L})$, the set of \mathcal{L} -regular measures of $M(\mathcal{L})$;
 $M_G(\mathcal{L})$, the set of G -smooth measures on \mathcal{L} of $M(\mathcal{L})$;
 $M_\gamma(\mathcal{L})$, the set of γ -smooth measures on \mathcal{L} of $M(\mathcal{L})$;
 $M^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$, the set of G -smooth measures on $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$ of $M(\mathcal{L})$;
 $M_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$, the set of \mathcal{L} -regular measures of $M^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$;
 $M_R^\gamma(\mathcal{L})$, the set of \mathcal{L} -regular measures of $M(\mathcal{L})$ which are
 γ -smooth on \mathcal{L} .

$I(\mathcal{L})$, $I_R(\mathcal{L})$, $I_G(\mathcal{L})$, $I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$, $I_R^\gamma(\mathcal{L})$ are the subsets of the corresponding M 's which consist of the non-trivial zero-one valued measures.

For $\mu \in M(\mathcal{L})$, the support of μ is

$$(2.16) \quad S(\mu) = \bigcap \{ L \in \mathcal{L} / \mu(L) = \mu(X) \}$$

(2.17) \mathcal{L} is replete iff for any $\mu \in I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$, $S(\mu) \neq \emptyset$.

(2.18) \mathcal{L} is fully-replete iff for any $\mu \in I^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$, $S(\mu) \neq \emptyset$.

(2.19) \mathcal{L} is prime complete iff for any $\mu \in I_G(\mathcal{L})$, $S(\mu) \neq \emptyset$.

The following results are not difficult to prove:

(2.20) \mathcal{L} is compact iff $S(\mu) \neq \emptyset$ for every $\mu \in I_R(\mathcal{L})$.

(2.21) If \mathcal{L} is countably compact, then $I_R(\mathcal{L}) = I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$.

(2.22) \mathcal{L} is normal iff for each $\mu \in I(\mathcal{L})$, there exists a unique $\nu \in I_R(\mathcal{L})$ such that $\mu \leq \nu$ on \mathcal{L} .

(2.23) \mathcal{L} is regular iff whenever $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$ on \mathcal{L} , then $S(\mu_1) = S(\mu_2)$.

(2.24) \mathcal{L} is strongly normal iff whenever $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu \in I(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mu \leq \mu_2$, $\mu \leq \mu_1$ on \mathcal{L} then $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$ on \mathcal{L} or $\mu_2 \leq \mu_1$ on \mathcal{L} .

Our final set of definitions concerns relationships between two lattices $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ of subsets of X , such that $\mathcal{L}_1 \subset \mathcal{L}_2$.

(2.25) \mathcal{L}_1 semiseparates \mathcal{L}_2 if $A \in \mathcal{L}_1$, $B \in \mathcal{L}_2$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$ implies there exists $C \in \mathcal{L}_1$ such that $B \subset C$ and $A \cap C = \emptyset$.

(2.26) \mathcal{L}_1 separates \mathcal{L}_2 if $A, B \in \mathcal{L}_2$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$, implies there exist $C, D \in \mathcal{L}_1$ such that $A \subset C$, $B \subset D$ and $C \cap D = \emptyset$.

(2.27) \mathcal{L}_2 is \mathcal{L}_1 -countably paracompact if for $A_n \in \mathcal{L}_2$, $n=1,2,\dots$ with $A_n \downarrow \emptyset$, there exists $B_n \in \mathcal{L}_1$, $n=1,2,\dots$ such that $A_n \subset B_n$ and $B_n \downarrow \emptyset$.

(2.28) \mathcal{L}_2 is countably bounded \mathcal{L}_1 lattice if for $A_n \in \mathcal{L}_2$, $n=1,2,\dots$ and $A_n \not\subseteq \emptyset$, there exists $B_n \in \mathcal{L}_1$, $n=1,2,\dots$ with $A_n \subset B_n$ and $B_n \not\subseteq \emptyset$.

3. ON LATTICE SEPARATION AND THE WALLMAN SPACE $I_R(\mathcal{L})$. In this section we briefly summarize some known facts (see e.g. [2], and [7]) about the Wallman space $I_R(\mathcal{L})$.

Let X be an abstract set and let \mathcal{L} be a lattice of subsets of X . If $x \in X$, then μ_x is the measure concentrated at x :

$$\mu_x(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases} \quad \text{where } A \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{L})$$

and $\mu_x \in I_R(\mathcal{L})$ iff \mathcal{L} is disjunctive.

Therefore there exists a mapping $\Phi: X \rightarrow I_R(\mathcal{L})$, where $\Phi(x) = \mu_x$ for $x \in X$, if \mathcal{L} is disjunctive. If \mathcal{L} is separating, Φ is one-to-one.

If \mathcal{L} is separating and disjunctive and if X is given the topology $\tau(\mathcal{L})$ of closed sets and if $I_R(\mathcal{L})$ is given the Wallman topology, then Φ is a homeomorphism of X into $I_R(\mathcal{L})$.

The Wallman topology is obtained by taking all

$$W(L) = \{ \mu \in I_R(\mathcal{L}) / \mu(L) = 1 \}, \quad L \in \mathcal{L}$$

as a base for the closed sets. $I_R(\mathcal{L})$ is called the general Wallman space associated with X and \mathcal{L} . Since $\Phi(X)$ is also dense in $I_R(\mathcal{L})$, $I_R(\mathcal{L})$ is a compactification of X , the general Wallman compactification of X .

We assume that \mathcal{L} is disjunctive. Then if $A \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{L})$, let $W(A) = \{ \mu \in I_R(\mathcal{L}) / \mu(A) = 1 \}$. The following statements are true:

- $W(A \cup B) = W(A) \cup W(B)$
- $W(A \cap B) = W(A) \cap W(B)$
- $W(A') = W(A)'$
- $A \supset B$ iff $W(A) \supset W(B)$
- $\mathcal{Q}(W(\mathcal{L})) = W(\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{L}))$

It is known that $W(\mathcal{L})$ is disjunctive and that the topological space $(I_R(\mathcal{L}), \tau W(\mathcal{L}))$ is compact and T_1 ; it is T_2 iff \mathcal{L} is normal (assuming as we have that \mathcal{L} is disjunctive).

Next consider that X is an abstract set and $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ are two lattices of subsets of X with $\mathcal{L}_1 \subset \mathcal{L}_2$. We note here some results on extensions and restrictions of zero-one regular measures

Theorem 3.1 Let $\mathcal{L}_1 \subset \mathcal{L}_2$ be two lattices of subsets of X such that \mathcal{L}_1 semiseparates \mathcal{L}_2 . The restriction map $\Psi : I_R(\mathcal{L}_2) \rightarrow I_R(\mathcal{L}_1)$ defined by $\Psi(\nu) = \mu = \nu / \alpha(\mathcal{L}_1)$, $\nu \in I_R(\mathcal{L}_2)$ is onto and is one-to-one iff \mathcal{L}_1 separates \mathcal{L}_2 . (Note that semiseparation implies that μ is \mathcal{L}_1 -regular and that the result is equally true if $\nu \in M_R(\mathcal{L}_2)$).

Theorem 3.2 Let $\mathcal{L}_1 \subset \mathcal{L}_2$ be two lattices of subsets of X . Then the following are true:

a) Any $\mu \in I_R(\mathcal{L}_1)$ can be extended to a $\nu \in I_R(\mathcal{L}_2)$ and if \mathcal{L}_2 is \mathcal{L}_1 countably paracompact or \mathcal{L}_2 is countably compact, or \mathcal{L}_2 is a countably bounded \mathcal{L}_1 -lattice then any $\mu \in I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_1)$ can be extended to a $\nu \in I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_2)$.

b) If \mathcal{L}_1 semiseparates \mathcal{L}_2 and \mathcal{L}_2 is \mathcal{L}_1 countably paracompact or a countably bounded \mathcal{L}_1 lattice, the restriction map $\Psi : I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_2) \rightarrow I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_1)$ is onto.

c) If \mathcal{L}_1 separates \mathcal{L}_2 and \mathcal{L}_2 is \mathcal{L}_1 -countably paracompact or \mathcal{L}_2 is a countably bounded \mathcal{L}_1 lattice, then the restriction map $\Psi : I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_2) \rightarrow I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_1)$ is one-to-one.

Theorem 3.3 Let $\mathcal{L}_1 \subset \mathcal{L}_2$ be two lattices of subsets of X . If \mathcal{L}_1 semiseparates \mathcal{L}_2 , the restriction map $\Psi : I_R(\mathcal{L}_2) \rightarrow I_R(\mathcal{L}_1)$ is onto and continuous with respect to the Wallman topologies. Ψ is one-to-one (and therefore a homeomorphism) iff \mathcal{L}_1 separates \mathcal{L}_2 .

4. THE WALLMAN SPACE $I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ AND THE LATTICES $W_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ AND $tW_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$.

Here we turn attention first to the general Wallman space $I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ in the case where \mathcal{L} is again a disjunctive lattice; this space is the generalization of the usual realcompactification and the N -compactification. We investigate when $I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$, $W_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ is prime complete. In particular we give necessary and sufficient conditions for $I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$, $tW_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ to be Lindelöf generalizing thereby results of [6] for the realcompactification.

First, we summarize some known results about zero-one measures and

filter correspondence:

a) There exists a one-to-one correspondence between all elements of $I_R(\mathcal{L})$ and all \mathcal{L} -ultrafilters.

There exists a one-to-one correspondence between all elements of $I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ and all \mathcal{L} -ultrafilters with the countable intersection property. The correspondence is given by the following rule: with each \mathcal{L} -ultrafilter F we associate the zero-one measure defined on $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$ by:

$$\mu_F(E) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if there exists } A \in F, A \subseteq E \\ 0 & \text{if there exists } A \in F, A \subseteq E^c \end{cases}$$

b) There exists a one-to-one correspondence between all elements of $I(\mathcal{L})$ and all prime \mathcal{L} -filters, given by the following rule: with each $\mu \in I(\mathcal{L})$ we associate the prime \mathcal{L} -filter given by $F = \{A \in \mathcal{L} / \mu(A) = 1\}$. This correspondence induces a one-to-one correspondence between prime \mathcal{L} -filters with the countable intersection property and $I_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$.

Consider an abstract set X and a disjunctive lattice of subsets of X , \mathcal{L} . Let $\mu \in I_R(\mathcal{L})$ and define μ' on $\mathcal{A}(W_\sigma(\mathcal{L})) = W_\sigma(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L}))$ by $\mu'(W_\sigma(A)) = \mu(A)$, $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$ where $W_\sigma(A) = \{\mu \in I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L}) / \mu(A) = 1\}$ and $W_\sigma(\mathcal{L}) = \{W_\sigma(A) / A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})\}$. Clearly for $A, B \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$ the properties a)-e) that we stated in section 3 are still valid. Note also that $W_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ is a disjunctive lattice.

Theorem 4.1 If $\mu \in I_R(\mathcal{L})$ then $\mu \in I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ iff $\mu' \in I_R^\sigma(W_\sigma(\mathcal{L}))$. (More generally: if $\mu \in I(\mathcal{L})$ then $\mu' \in I(W_\sigma(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mu \in I_R(\mathcal{L})$ iff $\mu' \in I_R(W_\sigma(\mathcal{L}))$).

Proof. See [2].

Theorem 4.2 Let \mathcal{L} be a disjunctive lattice of subsets of an abstract set X . Then $W_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ is replete.

Proof. Let $\mu' \in I_R^\sigma(W_\sigma(\mathcal{L}))$, $\mu' \neq 0$, defined by

$$\mu'(W_\sigma(L)) = \mu(L), \quad L \in \mathcal{L}, \quad \mu \in I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L}).$$

$$S(\mu') = \bigcap \{W_\sigma(L) / \mu'(W_\sigma(L)) = 1, L \in \mathcal{L}\}$$

But $\mu'(W_\sigma(L)) = \mu(L) = 1$ implies $\mu \in S(\mu') \neq \emptyset$ and therefore $W_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ is replete.

A lattice satisfies condition:

(1) if for any $\mu \in I_G(\mathcal{L})$ there exists $\nu \in I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ such that $\mu \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$ (i.e. $\mu(L) \leq \nu(L)$ for all $L \in \mathcal{L}$).

(2) if for every \mathcal{L} -filter with the countable intersection property $\mathcal{K} \in \Pi_G(\mathcal{L})$, there exists $\mu \in I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ such that $\mathcal{K} \leq \mu(\mathcal{L})$. We note that F is an \mathcal{L} -ultrafilter with the countable intersection property if $A_i \in F$ for all $i=1,2,\dots$ implies $\bigcap_{i=1}^\infty A_i \neq \emptyset$.

(3) if for every $\mu \in I_G(\mathcal{L}')$ there exists $\nu \in I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ such that $\mu \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$.

Theorem 4.3 Let \mathcal{L} be a disjunctive lattice. Then \mathcal{L} satisfies condition (1) iff $W_G(\mathcal{L})$ is prime complete.

Proof. Sufficiency: Let $\mu \in I_G(\mathcal{L})$ and the associated $\mu' \in I_G(W_G(\mathcal{L}))$ defined by $\mu'(W_G(L)) = \mu(L)$, $L \in \mathcal{L}$. Since $W_G(\mathcal{L})$ is prime complete, $S(\mu') \neq \emptyset$ and then there exists $\nu \in S(\mu')$ and $\mu \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$.

Necessity: Let $\mu \in I_G(\mathcal{L})$ and the associated $\mu' \in I_G(W_G(\mathcal{L}))$ such that $\mu'(W_G(L)) = \mu(L)$, $L \in \mathcal{L}$. Since \mathcal{L} satisfies condition (1), there exists $\nu \in I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ such that $\mu \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$. But then $\nu' \in I_R^\sigma(W_G(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mu' \leq \nu'(W_G(\mathcal{L}))$. Therefore $S(\nu') \subset S(\mu')$ and since $W_G(\mathcal{L})$ is replete $S(\nu') \neq \emptyset$. Then $S(\mu') \neq \emptyset$ which proves that $W_G(\mathcal{L})$ is prime complete.

Theorem 4.4 If \mathcal{L} satisfies condition (2) and if \mathcal{L} is replete then \mathcal{L} is Lindelöf.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{K} \in \Pi_G(\mathcal{L})$. Then there exists $\mu \in I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{K} \leq \mu(\mathcal{L})$. \mathcal{L} replete implies $S(\mu) = \bigcap_{L \in \mathcal{L}} \{L \in \mathcal{L} / \mu(L) = 1\} \neq \emptyset$ and since $\mathcal{K} \leq \mu(\mathcal{L})$ $S(\mu) \subset S(\mathcal{K}) \neq \emptyset$. But \mathcal{K} has the countable intersection property and then $\bigcap_{L \in \mathcal{L}} \{L \in \mathcal{L} / \mathcal{K}(L) = 1\} \neq \emptyset$, i.e. \mathcal{L} is Lindelöf.

Theorem 4.5 If \mathcal{L} is a countably compact lattice then \mathcal{L} satisfies condition (2).

Proof. Let $\{L_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be a collection of subsets of X such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} L_\alpha \neq \emptyset$. Since \mathcal{L} is countably compact, $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \{L_\alpha \in \mathcal{L}\} \neq \emptyset$ and then $\{L_\alpha\}_\alpha$ is a filter base which generates an \mathcal{L} -filter with the countable intersection property, $\mathcal{K} \in \Pi_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$. We enlarge it to F , an \mathcal{L} -ultrafilter with the countable intersection property. To F it corresponds uniquely $\mu \in I_R^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$. Now from a filter-ultrafilter argument it follows that $\mathcal{K} \leq \mu(\mathcal{L})$.

Theorem 4.6 If \mathcal{L} is disjointive and Lindelöf then \mathcal{L} satisfies condition (2).

Proof. Let $\mathcal{K} \in \Pi_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ and let $\{L_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be a family of subsets of X . Then $\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \{L_\alpha / \mathcal{K}(L_\alpha) = 1\} \neq \emptyset$ and since \mathcal{L} is Lindelöf $\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \{L_\alpha / \mathcal{K}(L_\alpha) = 1\} = S(\mathcal{K}) \neq \emptyset$.

Let $x \in S(\mathcal{K})$, and consider μ_x . Clearly $\mathcal{K} \leq \mu_x(\mathcal{L})$. From the countable intersection property of \mathcal{K} it follows that $\mu_x \in I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ and since \mathcal{L} is disjointive $\mu \in I_R(\mathcal{L})$. Therefore $\mu_x \in I_R^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ and \mathcal{L} satisfies condition (2).

Theorem 4.7 If \mathcal{L} is disjointive then \mathcal{L} satisfies condition (2) iff $(I_R^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L}), \tau W_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L}))$ is Lindelöf.

Proof. Necessity: First we show that $W_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ satisfies condition (2). Let $\mathcal{K} \in \Pi_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$. There exists $\mu \in I_R^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{K} \leq \mu(\mathcal{L})$. Corresponding to \mathcal{K} and μ we have (by Theorem 4.1) $\mathcal{K}' \in \Pi_{\mathcal{G}}(W_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mu' \in I_R^{\mathcal{G}}(W_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L}))$ with $\mathcal{K}' \leq \mu'(W_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L}))$, therefore $W_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ satisfies condition (2). Since \mathcal{L} is disjointive, $W_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ is replete. Then by Theorem 4.4 it follows that $W_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ is Lindelöf and $W_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L}) \subset \tau W_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ implies that $\tau W_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ is Lindelöf.

Sufficiency: $\tau W_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ Lindelöf implies that $W_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ is Lindelöf and since $W_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ is also disjointive, by Theorem 4.6 it follows that $W_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ satisfies condition (2). Therefore for $\mathcal{K}' \in \Pi_{\mathcal{G}}(W_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L}))$ there exists $\mu' \in I_R^{\mathcal{G}}(W_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L}))$ such that $\mathcal{K}' \leq \mu'(W_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L}))$. To \mathcal{K}' and μ' correspond $\mathcal{K} \in \Pi_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mu \in I_R^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ such that $\mathcal{K} \leq \mu(\mathcal{L})$.

Theorem 4.8 If \mathcal{L} is regular and Lindelöf then \mathcal{L} satisfies condition (1).

Proof. Let $\mu \in I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$. Since \mathcal{L} is Lindelöf, $S(\mu) \neq \emptyset$. Let then $x \in S(\mu)$ and consider μ_x which is \mathcal{L} -regular since \mathcal{L} is regular and therefore disjointive.

μ_x is also \mathcal{G} -smooth, hence $\mu_x \in I_R^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mu \leq \mu_x(\mathcal{L})$.

Theorem 4.9 If \mathcal{L} satisfies condition (1) and is replete then \mathcal{L} is prime complete.

Proof. If $\mu \in I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$, there exists $\nu \in I_R^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ such that $\mu \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$. Therefore $S(\mu) \supset S(\nu)$ and since \mathcal{L} is replete $S(\nu) \neq \emptyset$.

Remark If \mathcal{L} satisfies condition (3) and is replete \mathcal{L}' is prime complete. Note that if \mathcal{L} satisfies condition (1) and is replete then \mathcal{L} is fully replete. Therefore in this case repleteness is equivalent to fully-repleteness.

Lemma Let \mathcal{L} be a lattice of subsets of X . Then:

a) If \mathcal{L} is complement generated then \mathcal{L} is countably paracompact.

b) If \mathcal{L} is countably paracompact then $I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L}') \subset I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$

Proof. a) Let $A_n \in \mathcal{L}$, $A_n \downarrow \emptyset$, $A_n = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} B_{nj}'$, $B_{nj} \in \mathcal{L}$.

Then $A_n \subset B_{nj}' \downarrow \emptyset$.

b) Let $\mu \in I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L}')$ and $B_n \in \mathcal{L}$, $B_n \downarrow \emptyset$. There exists $A_n \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $B_n \subset A_n' \downarrow \emptyset$ and $\mu(B_n) \leq \mu(A_n') \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 4.10 If \mathcal{L} is complement generated then \mathcal{L} satisfies condition (3).

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}$, $A = \bigcap A_n'$, $A_n \in \mathcal{L}$ and let $\mu \in I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L}')$. Then $\mu \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$ for any $\nu \in I_R(\mathcal{L})$. Suppose that $\mu(A) = 0$ and $\nu(A) = 1$ and that $A_n' \downarrow$. By the \mathcal{L} -regularity of ν it follows that $\mu = \nu(\mathcal{L})$ therefore $I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L}') \subset I_R(\mathcal{L})$. By statement b) of Lemma we have that $I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L}') \subset I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ and therefore $\mu \in I_R^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$.

Theorem 4.11 Let \mathcal{L} be a disjunctive lattice of subsets of X

a) If \mathcal{L} satisfies condition (3) then $W_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})'$ is prime complete.

b) If $W_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})'$ is prime complete then \mathcal{L}' satisfies condition (1).

Proof. a) Let $\mu' \in I_{\mathcal{G}}(W_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})')$ with the associated $\mu \in I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L}')$. There exists $\nu \in I_R^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ such that $\mu \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$. Then $\mu' \leq \nu'(W_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})')$ and since $W_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ is replete, $S(\nu') \neq \emptyset$ which implies $S(\mu') \neq \emptyset$.

b) Let $\mu \in I_G(\mathcal{L}')$ and consider the associated $\mu' \in I_G(W_G(\mathcal{L}'))$. $S(\mu') \neq \emptyset$, since $W_G(\mathcal{L}')$ is prime complete. Therefore there exists $\nu \in S(\mu')$, $\nu \in I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L}')$ and $\mu \leq \nu(\mathcal{L}')$.

Theorem 4.12 Let $\mathcal{L}_1 \subset \mathcal{L}_2$ be two lattices of subsets of X . Suppose that \mathcal{L}_1 satisfies condition (1). Then the following are true:

- If \mathcal{L}_1 is strongly normal and if \mathcal{L}_2 is \mathcal{L}_1 countably bounded then \mathcal{L}_2 satisfies condition (1);
- If \mathcal{L}_1 is normal and semiseparates \mathcal{L}_2 and if \mathcal{L}_2 is \mathcal{L}_1 countably bounded then \mathcal{L}_2 satisfies condition (1);
- If \mathcal{L}_1 is normal and semiseparates \mathcal{L}_2 and if \mathcal{L}_2 is \mathcal{L}_1 countably paracompact then \mathcal{L}_2 satisfies condition (1).

Proof. Let $\nu \in I_G(\mathcal{L}_2)$. Then $\nu|_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L}_1)} = \mu \in I_G(\mathcal{L}_1)$ and since \mathcal{L}_1 satisfies condition (1) there exists $\lambda \in I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_1)$ such that $\mu \leq \lambda(\mathcal{L}_1)$. Let $\tau \in I_R(\mathcal{L}_2)$. Then $\nu \leq \tau(\mathcal{L}_2)$ and $\mu \leq \tau|_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L}_1)}(\mathcal{L}_1)$.

a) Since \mathcal{L}_1 is strongly normal $\tau|_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L}_1)} \leq \lambda(\mathcal{L}_1)$ and then $\tau|_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L}_1)} \in I_G(\mathcal{L}_1)$. Since \mathcal{L}_2 is \mathcal{L}_1 countably bounded $\tau \in I_G(\mathcal{L}_2)$. Hence $\tau \in I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_2)$.

b) Since \mathcal{L}_1 semiseparates \mathcal{L}_2 $\tau|_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L}_1)} \in I_R(\mathcal{L}_1)$. But since \mathcal{L}_1 is normal we must have $\tau|_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L}_1)} = \lambda$ and therefore $\tau|_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L}_1)} \in I_G(\mathcal{L}_1)$. As in a) it follows that $\tau \in I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_2)$.

c) As in b) we get that $\tau|_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L}_1)} \in I_G(\mathcal{L}_1)$. Since \mathcal{L}_2 is \mathcal{L}_1 countably paracompact it follows then that $\tau \in I_G(\mathcal{L}_2)$, hence $\tau \in I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_2)$.

Theorem 4.13 Let $\mathcal{L}_1 \subset \mathcal{L}_2$ be two lattices of subsets of X and suppose that \mathcal{L}_2 satisfies condition (1). Then the following are true:

- If \mathcal{L}_1 semiseparates \mathcal{L}_2 and if \mathcal{L}_2 is \mathcal{L}_1 countably bounded then \mathcal{L}_1 satisfies condition (1);
- If \mathcal{L}_1 is \mathcal{J} and $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L}_1) \subset s(\mathcal{L}_1)$ and if \mathcal{L}_2 is \mathcal{L}_1 countably bounded then \mathcal{L}_1 satisfies condition (1).

Proof. Let $\mu \in I_G(\mathcal{L}_1)$ and extend it to $\tau \in I_G(\mathcal{L}_2)$ since

\mathcal{L}_2 is \mathcal{L}_1 countably bounded.

\mathcal{L}_2 satisfies condition (1), therefore there exists $\nu \in I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_2)$ such that $\mathcal{L} \leq \nu(\mathcal{L}_2)$. Consider the restriction $\nu|_{\alpha(\mathcal{L}_1)}$.

If \mathcal{L}_1 semiseparates \mathcal{L}_2 (or if \mathcal{L}_1 is \mathcal{S} and $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L}_1) \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{L}_1)$) then $\nu|_{\alpha(\mathcal{L}_1)} \in I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L}_1)$ and $\mu \leq \nu|_{\alpha(\mathcal{L}_1)}(\mathcal{L}_1)$.

Therefore \mathcal{L}_1 satisfies condition (1).

REFERENCES

- [1] A.D.Alexandroff, Additive set functions in abstract spaces, Mat.Sb. (N.S.) 8, 50 (1940), pp 307-348.
- [2] G.Bachman & M.Szeto, On strongly measure replete lattices and the general Wallman remainder, Fundamenta Mathematicae CXXII(1984), pp 199-217.
- [3] G.Bachman & A.Sultan, Regular lattice measures: mappings and spaces, Pacific Journal Math. 67 No.2 (1976), pp 291-321.
- [4] N.Bourbaki, Elements of Mathematics.General Topology, Part I, Addison-Wesley, Reading,Massachusetts, 1966.
- [5] G.Nöbeling, Grundlagen der analytischen Topologie, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1954.
- [6] R.T.Ramsay, Lindelöf realcompactifications, Michigan Math. Journal, 17 (1970), pp 353-354.
- [7] M.Szeto, Measure repleteness and mapping preservations, Jour. Indian Math.Soc. 43 (1979), pp 35-52.
- [8] V.S.Varadarajan, Measures on topological spaces, Amer.Math. Soc.Transl.Ser.2, 48 (1965), pp 161-228.
- [9] C.Vlad, Direct product of measures, Libertas Mathematica Vol.VII(1987), pp.71-84.