

INTEGRATION OF POISSON STABLE FUNCTIONS
OF TWO VARIABLES*

To the memory of S. Stoilow

S. Zaidman

Abstract. In this paper we consider Poisson stable functions from \mathbb{R}^2 into a Banach space. If $u = u(x,y)$ is a function from \mathbb{R}^2 into a Banach space which has relatively compact range, and if the partial (strong) derivatives $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$ are Poisson stable functions with a common returning sequence, then u is also a Poisson stable function.

Introduction. A classical theorem of Bochner (see for instance the monographs [2] and [5]) establishes almost-periodicity of functions from \mathbb{R} into a Banach space which have relatively compact range and almost-periodic strong derivative. The same kind of result holds true also in the more general situation of almost-automorphic functions (see [5]). On the other hand, the classical theorem of Bohr-Bohl (almost-periodicity of scalar-valued functions which are bounded and have almost-periodic derivative, see again [2], [5]) has been extended to functions of two variables in [1] and quite recently, when the range of the functions is a Banach space, in the paper [4].

The concept of functions which are stable in the sense of Poisson can be found in the recent monograph [3, p. 80] and an analogous to Bochner's theorem is given as Proposition 1, p. 81 in [3].

(*) We acknowledge support of the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

In this short paper we shall prove a similar result for Poisson stable functions in two variables, in the case where the range is a Banach space.

1. Extending in a natural way the definition in [3] we consider strongly continuous functions $f = f(x,y)$ from \mathbb{R}^2 into the Banach space E and say that a sequence $(x_m, y_m) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $x_m^2 + y_m^2 \rightarrow +\infty$ is f-returning if the relation

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f(x+x_m, y+y_m) = f(x,y)$$

holds true, strongly in E and uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 .

We give now the following

Definition. A continuous function $f = f(x,y)$, from \mathbb{R}^2 into the Banach space E is said to be stable in the sense of Poisson if it has at least one re-
turning sequence.

Consider now strongly continuous functions $u = u(x,y)$, from \mathbb{R}^2 into E , which have partial (strong) derivatives, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$ defined in the usual way. Assume also that the functions $f = f(x,y)$ and $g = g(x,y)$ from \mathbb{R}^2 into E are Poisson stable functions having at least one common returning sequence. Then the following is valid:

Theorem. If $u = u(x,y)$, $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow E$ has relatively compact range and if
 $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = f$, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = g$, then u is also Poisson stable.

Proof. We begin with a few preliminary remarks

From the equality $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = f$ we deduce: $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (u(x,y) - \int_0^x f(s,y)ds) = 0$, hence $u(x,y) - \int_0^x f(s,y)ds = u(0,y)$. Next, from the equality $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = g(x,y)$ we find that $\frac{\partial}{\partial y} (u(x,y) - \int_0^y g(x,s)ds) = 0$, hence $u(x,y) - \int_0^y g(x,s)ds = u(x,0)$; accordingly we get $u(0,y) = u(0,0) + \int_0^y g(0,s)ds$ and finally the representation formula

$$(1.2) \quad u(x,y) = u(0,0) + \int_0^x f(s,y)ds + \int_0^y g(0,s)ds, \quad \forall (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Note now that for any $a,b \in \mathbb{R}$, the translated function $u_{a,b}(x,y) = u(x+a, y+b)$ verifies the system of partial differential equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} u_{a,b}(x,y) = f_{a,b}(x,y) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y} u_{a,b}(x,y) = g_{a,b}(x,y)$$

where $f_{a,b}$ and $g_{a,b}$ are translated functions of f and g respectively.

Consequently, from the above remarks we obtain the representation

$$(1.3) \quad u(x+a, y+b) = u(a,b) + \int_0^x f(s+a, y+b)ds + \int_0^y g(a, s+b)ds,$$

for all $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Let us take now a common returning sequence for the functions f and g , say (x_m, y_m) ; next, we write the representation formula following from (1.3):

$$(1.4) \quad u(x+x_m, y+y_m) = \int_0^x f(s+x_m, y+y_m)ds + \int_0^y g(x_m, s+y_m)ds + u(x_m, y_m).$$

From the relative compactness of the range of u in the space E we see that it is possible to extract a subsequence of (x_m, y_m) , which we denote by (x_{m_k}, y_{m_k}) , with the property that

$$(1.5) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u(x_{m_k}, y_{m_k}) = \tilde{u} \in E.$$

It follows readily that the formula

$$(1.6) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u(x+x_{m_k}, y+y_{m_k}) = \int_0^x f(s,y)ds + \int_0^y g(0,s)ds + \tilde{u} = u(x,y) - u(0,0) + \tilde{u}$$

is satisfied (the limit being uniform on compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 , as easily seen):

$$\int_0^x [f(s+x_{m_k}, y+y_{m_k}) - f(s,y)]ds \rightarrow \theta \text{ uniformly on compacts in } \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ for (if } |x|^2 + |y|^2 \leq A^2, \text{ then } \|f(s+x_{m_k}, y+y_{m_k}) - f(s,y)\| < \frac{\epsilon}{A} \text{ for } k > \bar{k}_1(\epsilon) \text{ and accordingly}$$

$$\left\| \int_0^x [f(s+x_{m_k}, y+y_{m_k}) - f(s,y)]ds \right\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{A} |x| \leq \epsilon \text{ for } k > \bar{k}_1(\epsilon); \text{ also we see that}$$

$$\left\| \int_0^y [g(x_{m_k}, s+y_{m_k}) - g(0,s)] ds \right\| \leq |y| \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{A} \leq \varepsilon, \text{ due to the fact that}$$

$$\|g(x_{m_k}, s+y_{m_k}) - g(0,s)\| < \varepsilon/A \text{ for } k > \bar{k}_2(\varepsilon), \text{ and } |s| < |y| < A.$$

Let us denote by $v = v(x,y)$ the function given as $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u(x+x_{m_k}, y+y_{m_k})$. We obtain, using (1.2) the relation

$$v(x,y) = u(x,y) - u(0,0) + \tilde{u} = u(x,y) + C,$$

where C is some element in E .

It follows that: $v(x+x_{m_k}, y+y_{m_k}) = u(x+x_{m_k}, y+y_{m_k}) + C$ and we deduce

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} v(x+x_{m_k}, y+y_{m_k}) = v(x,y) + C = u(x,y) + 2C.$$

If we denote by T the generalized translation operator given by

$$T u(x,y) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u(x+x_{m_k}, y+y_{m_k})$$

we get that $T u$ belongs to the closure of the range of u in E .

Note also the relations:

$$T u = v = u + C; \quad T(Tu) = T v = T u + C = u + 2C; \quad \dots \quad T^n u = u + nC.$$

Furthermore the obvious estimates hold:

$$\sup_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|T u\| \leq \sup_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|u\|; \quad \dots \quad \sup_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|T^n u\| \leq \sup_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|u\|, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Hence, we obtain, for any $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and any natural number n , the estimate

$$\|u(x,y) + nC\| \leq \sup_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|u(x,y)\|.$$

On the other hand, if C is a non-zero element of E we get also the lower bound

$$\sup_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|u(x,y)\| \geq \|u(x,y) + nC\| \geq n\|C\| - \|u(x,y)\| \geq n\|C\| - \sup_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|u(x,y)\|.$$

It follows that, $\forall n = 1, 2, \dots$, the inequality $n\|C\| \leq 2 \sup_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|u(x,y)\|$ must be verified, which is impossible.

Consequently, the element C must be zero and $T u = u$, which means that the sequence (x_{m_k}, y_{m_k}) is a returning sequence for u .

REFERENCES

- [1] BRAUERS, N., *Sur l'intégration des fonctions presque périodiques de deux variables*, Comm. Math. Helvetici, 11(1939), 330-335.
- [2] CORDUNEANU, C., *Almost Periodic Functions*, Interscience Publishers, New York-Toronto, 1968.
- [3] LEVITAN, B.M. and ZHIKOV, V.V., *Almost Periodic Functions and Differential Equations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-London, 1982.
- [4] TEREZI, P., *Integrazione delle funzioni quasi-periodiche di due variabili reali, a valori in uno spazio di Banach*, Istituto Lombardo-Acc. Sci. e Lett., Rendiconti, (A), Vol. 107, 1973, 3-33.
- [5] ZAIDMAN, S., *Almost-periodic functions in abstract spaces*, Pitman Advanced Publishing Program, R.N.M., 126, Boston-London-Melbourne, 1985.

