

To the memory of
Miron Nicolescu

FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR SEQUENCES OF MAPPINGS

G. Isac

Generally, the study in fixed point theory has developed in the following directions: 1) generalization of conditions which imply existence of fixed points; 2) the study of uniqueness; 3) topological study of the set of fixed points in the case of nonuniqueness; 4) the study of fixed points for a mapping f using the sequence of iterates $\{f^n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$; 5) the study of fixed point for a mapping f using a sequence of mappings $\{f_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ convergent to f , and 6) the study of common fixed points for families of mappings [18].

Many results on the existence of common fixed points for families of mappings use a commutativity assumption [2], [4], [8-10], [13], [20].

Our main purpose in this note is the study of the existence of common fixed points for a sequence of mappings, on a complete metric space, without commutativity assumption.

We obtain two general fixed point theorems which contain as particular cases many well-known fixed point theorems.

Some results on the existence of common fixed points for noncommutative families of mappings can be found in [1], [18], but our results are different.

Theorem 1. Let (E, d) be a complete metric space and let $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of maps, $f_n: E \rightarrow E$, verifying the following assumptions:

- 1) There exist two constants $0 \leq a, b$ such that $a + b < 1$ and for each $x, y \in E$,

$$d(f_i(f_{i-1}(x)), f_j(f_{j-1}(y))) \leq a d(f_{i-1}(x), f_{j-1}(y)) + b d(x, y); \quad \forall i, j > 1.$$

- 2) There exist a constant $0 < \beta < 1$ and a function $\chi: [0, +\infty[\rightarrow [0, +\infty[$, verifying $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0_+} \chi(r) = 0$, and such that for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for each $j > n_i$ and each $x, y \in E$,

$$d(f_j(x), f_1(y)) \leq \chi(d(x, f_j(x))) + \beta d(y, f_1(y))$$

Then, there exists a unique common fixed point $x^* \in E$ for the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, and for each $x_0 \in E$ the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by $x_n = f_n(x_{n-1})$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, converges to x^* .

Proof. First, we will prove that the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by, $x_n = f_n(x_{n-1})$; $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, where x_0 is an arbitrary point of E , is a Cauchy sequence.

Indeed, we denote

$$K = \max \{d(x_0, f_1(x_0)), d(f_1(x_0), f_2(x_1))\},$$

and using the definition of the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and assumption 1) we obtain the following inequalities:

$$(i_1) \quad d(f_2(x_1), f_3(x_2)) \leq a d(f_1(x_0), f_2(x_1)) + b d(x_0, f_1(x_0)) \\ \leq K(a + b),$$

$$(i_2) \quad d(f_3(x_2), f_4(x_3)) \leq a d(f_2(x_1), f_3(x_2)) + b d(f_1(x_0), f_2(x_1)) \\ \leq a K(a+b) + b K = K[a(a+b)+b] \leq K(a+b)$$

$$(i_3) \quad d(f_4(x_3), f_5(x_4)) \leq a d(f_3(x_2), f_4(x_3)) + b d(f_2(x_1), f_3(x_2)) \\ \leq a K(a+b) + b K(a+b) = K(a+b)^2.$$

Now, by induction we have the general formula

$$(i_4) \quad d(f_{2m}(x_{2m-1}), f_{2m+1}(x_{2m})) \leq K(a+b)^m, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From (i₂) and (i₄), and again by induction we obtain

$$(i_5) \quad d(f_{2m+1}(x_{2m}), f_{2m+2}(x_{2m+1})) \leq K(a+b)^m, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Consider now, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ arbitrary but such that, $m < n$. In this case, from (i₄) and (i₅) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(f_m(x_{m-1}), f_n(x_{n-1})) &\leq d(f_m(x_{m-1}), f_{m+1}(x_m)) + d(f_{m+1}(x_m), f_{m+2}(x_{m+1})) \\
&+ \dots + d(f_{n-1}(x_{n-2}), f_n(x_{n-1})) \leq K(a+b) \frac{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor}{1-(a+b)}
\end{aligned}$$

The last formula implies that the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence and since E is a complete metric space then there exists $x^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$.

We will prove now that x^* is a common fixed point for the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Let f_i be an arbitrary map of the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

From assumption 2) there is a $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $n > n_i$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x^*, f_i(x^*)) &\leq d(x^*, x_n) + d(x_n, f_i(x^*)) \\
&= d(x^*, x_n) + d(f_n(x_{n-1}), f_i(x^*)) \\
&\leq d(x^*, x_n) + \chi(d(x_{n-1}, f_n(x_{n-1}))) + \beta d(x^*, f_i(x^*))
\end{aligned}$$

which implies,

$$(i_6) \quad d(x^*, f_i(x^*)) \leq \frac{1}{1-\beta} [d(x^*, x_n) + \chi(d(x_{n-1}, f_n(x_{n-1})))]$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the formula (i₆) and using the property of the function χ , we obtain $d(x^*, f_i(x^*)) = 0$, and finally $f_i(x^*) = x^*$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

To finish, we observe that x^* is a unique common fixed point for the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Indeed, we suppose that there exists another common fixed point v for the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Choosing an index $i \in \mathbb{N}$ from assumption 2) there is a $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $j > n_i$ we have

$$d(x^*, v) = d(f_j(x^*), f_i(v)) \leq \chi(d(x^*, f_j(x^*))) + \beta d(v, f_i(v))$$

which implies $v = x^*$ and the proof is finished.

Remark 1. If the inequality of the assumption 2) is verified with $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$

and $\chi(r) = \beta r$, for each i and j , then we obtain the fixed point theorem proved by Rassias [14].

In this case, the assumption 1) is not used in the proof.

Remark 2. If in theorem 1 we have $f_n = f$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then we obtain the fixed point theorem proved by Istratescu [7].

Remark 3. The theorem 1 can be considered also as a generalization of Kannan's fixed point theorem, or of certain generalizations of Kannan's fixed point theorem [3], [6], [12], [15-17], [18], [21].

For the next theorem we consider a complete metric space (E, d) , and we suppose that there exist three functions ϕ, ψ, χ from $[0, +\infty[$ into $[0, +\infty[$, which satisfy the assumptions [5]:

- 1) $\phi(r) < r$ if $r > 0$;
- 2) there exists $\lim_{r \rightarrow r_0^+} \phi(r) \leq \phi(r_0)$; $\forall r_0 \in [0, +\infty[$;
- 3) $\psi(0) = \chi(0) = 0$;
- 4) $\chi(r) < r$ if $r > 0$;
- 5) ψ and χ are continuous functions at $r = 0$.

Theorem 2. Let $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of maps, $f_n: E \rightarrow E$, verifying the assumptions:

$$A) \quad d(f_i(x), f_j(y)) \leq \phi(d(x, y)) + \psi(d(f_i(x), x)) + \chi(d(f_j(y), y)),$$

$$\forall x, y \in E, \quad \forall i, j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

- B) For each $x_0 \in E$ the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by $(*) : x_n = f_n(x_{n-1})$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ verifies, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f_n(x_{n-1}), f_{n-1}(x_{n-2})) = 0$.

Then there exists a unique common fixed point $x^* \in E$ for the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, and for each $x_0 \in E$ the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by $(*)$ converges to x^* .

Proof.

- a) The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for each $x_0 \in E$.

To prove this affirmation we suppose that there exists $x_0 \in E$, such that $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ (where $x_n = f_n(x_{n-1})$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$) is not a Cauchy sequence.

As in the paper [16], there exist $\varepsilon > 0$, $\{m_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{n_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ which satisfy:

- $i_1)$ $m_j > n_j, \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$;
- $i_2)$ $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} n_j = +\infty$;
- $i_3)$ $d(f_{m_j}(x_{m_j-1}), f_{n_j}(x_{n_j-1})) \geq \varepsilon$;
- $i_4)$ $d(f_{m_j-1}(x_{m_j-2}), f_{n_j}(x_{n_j-1})) < \varepsilon$.

In this case we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\leq d(f_{m_j}(x_{m_j-1}), f_{n_j}(x_{n_j-1})) \\ &\leq d(f_{m_j}(x_{m_j-1}), f_{m_j-1}(x_{m_j-2})) + d(f_{m_j-1}(x_{m_j-2}), f_{n_j}(x_{n_j-1})) \\ &\leq \varepsilon + d(f_{m_j}(x_{m_j-1}), f_{m_j-1}(x_{m_j-2})), \end{aligned}$$

which implies, letting $j \rightarrow +\infty$ (and using B)),

$$(\theta_1) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} d(f_{m_j}(x_{m_j-1}), f_{n_j}(x_{n_j-1})) = \varepsilon.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} d(f_{m_j}(x_{m_j-1}), f_{n_j}(x_{n_j-1})) &\leq d(f_{m_j}(x_{m_j-1}), f_{m_j+1}(x_{m_j})) \\ &\quad + d(f_{n_j}(x_{n_j-1}), f_{n_j+1}(x_{n_j})) + d(f_{m_j+1}(x_{m_j}), f_{n_j+1}(x_{n_j})) \\ &\leq d(f_{m_j}(x_{m_j-1}), f_{m_j+1}(x_{m_j})) + d(f_{n_j}(x_{n_j-1}), f_{n_j+1}(x_{n_j})) \\ &\quad + \phi(d(x_{m_j}, x_{n_j})) + \psi(d(f_{m_j+1}(x_{m_j}), x_{m_j})) + \chi(d(f_{n_j+1}(x_{n_j}), x_{n_j})), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& d(f_{m_j}(x_{m_j-1}), f_{n_j}(x_{n_j-1})) - \phi(d(f_{m_j}(x_{m_j-1}), f_{n_j}(x_{n_j-1}))) \\
& \leq d(f_{m_j}(x_{m_j-1}), f_{m_j+1}(x_{m_j})) + d(f_{n_j}(x_{n_j-1}), f_{n_j+1}(x_{n_j})) \\
& \quad + \psi(d(f_{m_j+1}(x_{m_j}), x_{m_j})) + \chi(d(f_{n_j+1}(x_{n_j}), x_{n_j})).
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $j \rightarrow +\infty$ in the last inequality, and using the properties of the functions ϕ , ψ and χ , we obtain

$$(\theta_2) \quad \varepsilon - \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(f_{m_j}(x_{m_j-1}), f_{n_j}(x_{n_j-1}))) \leq 0, \text{ which is impossible.}$$

(See (θ_1) and the properties 1) and 2) of the function ϕ).

Hence the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence, and there exists $x^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$.

b) The point x^* is a common fixed point for the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.
Indeed, if $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ is fixed we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x^*, f_{n_0}(x^*)) & \leq d(x^*, f_{n_0}(x_{n_0-1})) + d(f_{n_0}(x_{n_0-1}), f_{n_0+1}(x_{n_0})) \\
& \quad + d(f_{n_0+1}(x_{n_0}), f_{n_0}(x^*)) \\
& \leq d(x^*, f_{n_0}(x_{n_0-1})) + d(f_{n_0}(x_{n_0-1}), f_{n_0+1}(x_{n_0})) \\
& \quad + \phi(d(x_{n_0}, x^*)) + \psi(d(f_{n_0+1}(x_{n_0}), x_{n_0})) + \chi(d(f_{n_0}(x^*), x^*)).
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and using the properties of the function ϕ we obtain,

$$(\theta_3) \quad 0 \leq d(x^*, f_{n_0}(x^*)) \leq \chi(d(f_{n_0}(x^*), x^*)).$$

If we suppose that $d(f_{n_0}(x^*), x^*) > 0$ then from the property 4) of the function χ and (θ_3) we obtain $d(x^*, f_{n_0}(x^*)) < d(f_{n_0}(x^*), x^*)$ which is impossible.

Then $f_{n_0}(x^*) = x^*$ for each $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$.

- c) The point x^* is a unique common fixed point for the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.
To prove this, we suppose that there exists another common fixed point v .
Since,

$$d(x^*, v) = d(f_i(x^*), f_j(v)) \leq \phi(d(x^*, v)) \\ + \psi(d(f_i(x^*), x^*)) + \chi(d(f_j(v), v)) = \phi(d(x^*, v)),$$

using the property 1) of the function ϕ we obtain $d(x^*, v) = 0$, and the proof is finished.

Remark. If in the theorem 2 we have $f_n = f$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then we obtain the fixed point theorem proved by Emmanuele [5].

Several well-known fixed point theorems are particular cases of Emmanuele's theorem.

Hence, our theorem 2 is a generalization for sequences of maps of some well-known fixed point theorems [5].

REFERENCES

- [1] Brønsted, A., "Common fixed points and partial orders," Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 77, 3, 365-368 (1979).
- [2] Das, K. M., Viswanatha, Naik K., "Common fixed point theorems for commuting maps on metric spaces," Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 77, 369-374 (1979).
- [3] DeBlasi, F. S., "Fixed points for Kannan's mappings in Hilbert spaces," B. U. M. I. (4), 9, 818-823 (1974).
- [4] DeMar, R. E., "Common fixed points for commuting contraction mappings," Pacific J. Math. 13, 1139-1141 (1963).
- [5] Emmanuele, G., "Fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces," Nonlinear Anal., TMA, 5, 3, 287-292 (1981).
- [6] Gillespie, A. A., Williams, B. B., "Some theorems on fixed points in Lipschitz and Kannan type mappings," J. Math. Anal. Appl. 74, 382-387 (1980).
- [7] Istratescu, V., "Some fixed point theorems for convex contractions mappings and convex non-expansive mappings," Libertas Mathematica, 1,

- 151-163 (1981).
- [8] Jungk, G., "A common fixed point theorem for commuting maps on L-spaces," Math. Japon 25, 81-85 (1980).
 - [9] Jungk, G., "Periodic fixed points and commuting mappings," Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 76, 333-338 (1979).
 - [10] Jungk, G., "Commuting mappings and fixed points," Amer. Math. Monthly 83, 261-263 (1976).
 - [11] Kakutani, S., "Two fixed point theorems concerning bicomact convex sets," Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo 14, 242-245 (1938)?
 - [12] Kannan, R., "Some results on fixed points," Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 60, 71-76 (1968).
 - [13] Mitchell, Th., "Common fixed points for equicontinuous semigroups of mappings," Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 33, 146-150 (1972).
 - [14] Rassias, T. M., "On fixed point theorems," EAEYΘEPIA (Math. J. of the Seminar P. Zervos) (Athens) Tom. 2, 485-492 (1979).
 - [15] Reich, S., "Some remarks concerning contraction mappings," Can. Math. Bull. 14, 121-124 (1971).
 - [16] Reich, S., "Fixed point of contractive functions," Bull. Un. Math. Ital. (4), 5, 26-42 (1972).
 - [17] Reach, S., "Kannan's fixed point theorem," Bull. Un. Math. Ital. (4), 5, 1-11 (1971).
 - [18] Rhoades, B. E., "Comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings," Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 226, 256-290 (1977).
 - [19] Rzepecki, B., "A note on fixed point theorem of Luxemburg type," Bull. Math. Soc. Math. de la R. S. Roumanie, Tom. 26 (74), 2, 175-181 (1982).
 - [20] Chang, Shih-Sen, "A common fixed point theorem for commuting mappings," Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 83, 3, 645-652 (1981).
 - [21] Wong, C. S., "On Kannan maps," Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 27, 105-111 (1975).